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Editorial

By Michael Muschick,
ISTA Secretary General

Dear Reader,

you have the newest issue of Seed Testing International in your hands. This number is different compared to the previous issues, not only because of the contents itself, but because of the new structuring of the contents.

All articles in the journal are now arranged in the four business areas of ISTA: Rules Development, Accreditation of laboratories, Seed Science and Training & Education activities. Furthermore, you will always have a big section about the latest news from and around ISTA including the latest membership updates in the section of the Association News.

In all coming issues of Seed Testing International you will now find a cover article, focussing on a topic of actual major importance. This issue is focussing on the upcoming ISTA Annual Meeting 2006, which undoubtedly will be the biggest gathering of seed analysts from all over the world. Find in this issue the final programme, an overview of all relevant documents you need in order to be superbly prepared for that meeting, as well as the text of the most important documents to be discussed at this meeting. Like for instance the strategy document - the article explains the process on how the new strategy should be developed. I invite you to study the contents of the strategy and to actively participate in the discussion or to send your comments on the strategy to the ISTA Secretariat already now.

I am truly excited about this meeting and the discussions which will be taking place – likewise I look forward to personally welcoming you at this important meeting.

Please enjoy the reading of this issue of Seed Testing International and I indeed look much forward to welcoming you in Zurich this June at the ISTA Annual Meeting 2006.

Yours sincerely,
Michael Muschick
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President’s Report

By Pieter Oosterveld, ISTA President

Over the past years ISTA and FAO have been working closely together in the organisation of workshops in various countries. This year a joint workshop will be held in Ghana. ISTA believes that the collaboration with FAO is very important with regard to the expressed wish of the Association to support seed testing on tropical species and the development of laboratories in areas where seed testing is still developing. FAO and ISTA agreed that a Memorandum of Understanding between the two partners would be of benefit to strengthen their relation. During a ceremony on May 24, 2006, the assistant director of FAO, Ms Louise Fresco and myself, will sign the agreed Memorandum of Understanding. The Executive Committee and the ISTA staff are very happy with the Memorandum of Understanding with FAO, it underlines once more the acknowledgement of the work for our Association.

In February, the Executive Committee met at the premises of the Secretariat in Bassersdorf, Switzerland. All members of the Executive Committee participated in the meeting. It was my great pleasure to welcome also our Honorary President, Professor Attilio Lovato. The meeting was well prepared and hosted by the ISTA staff. The Executive Committee expressed its appreciation to the staff members for all the work they do for the Association. We all are aware of the fact, that the support of the staff is essential for all of us.

The Executive Committee evaluated the developments of the Association over the past ten years and concluded that many goals that were set, have been achieved. Worldwide uniformity in seed testing is the vision of ISTA. The increase of membership, from 64 countries and 136 laboratories in 1995 up to 76 countries and 171 in 2005, indicates clearly that governments and the seed industry acknowledge ISTA’s importance for the facilitation of the national and international seed trade. Also interesting is the increasing number of ISTA certificates that are issued by laboratories in regions where seed testing is developing.

The positive signals from the ‘market’ were clearly highlighted when the Executive Committee discussed a draft document on strategic planning. As announced in Bangkok, the Executive Committee will come up with a draft strategic document for discussion at the Ordinary Meeting 2006. The document has been circulated to the members and is also available for download on the ISTA Website.

The Executive Committee also discussed testing for specified trait(s) and made reference to the outcome of the Ordinary Meeting in Bangkok. The Executive Committee agreed that the scope of the decisions made concerning ‘testing on specified trait(s)’ includes GMO testing as well. Therefore, the Executive Committee decided that from now on ISTA will use in all documents relating to methodology the terminology ‘testing on specified trait(s)’.

The Executive Committee decided positively on proposals on a revision of the Performance Data Evaluation for the presence of seed with specified trait(s) in seed lots. The revised version is already available on the ISTA Website.

The Executive Committee agreed on a number of proposals in order to bring some articles of the Constitution in line with the current fee structure. These proposals do not affect the policy of the Association nor the voting right. Furthermore, the proposals include the installation of associate members, corporate members and honorary life members. The proposals for changes in the constitution have already been distributed to the membership and are published on page 5 of this issue.

Several working groups are working on various numbers of items. Some examples: The working group on tropical seeds is looking for possibilities for a training programme for regions where seed testing is at an early stage of development. ISTA seeks partners to make such a programme possible. The method validation working group is finalising the ISTA generic method validation programme.

The draft is going to be discussed with the chairpersons of the technical committees. The working group on certificates is going to present some proposals with regard to the use of ISTA certificates. Part of an ongoing discussion is a request for permission of printing the ISTA logo on seed bags.

The Executive Committee finalised the discussion on the programme of the Annual Meeting 2006 and the Congress 2007. The working group presented a number of proposals. The Executive Committee concluded that the introduction of annual meetings worked out positively in the work of the technical committees and the decision making process in general. The Executive Committee concluded that annual meetings should be continued to be organised. Also the 4-days programme can remain unchanged in principle. The Executive Committee is also in favour of continuing a triennial cycle for the congress, starting with the seed symposium, followed by open technical committee meetings and the ordinary meeting. The overall programme will take 7 days. The already published programme for the congress in Brasil will remain unchanged, since this programme has already been published. The Executive Committee decided also that in the future two fees are to be set for the congress: one for the overal congress and one for the seed symposium only.

The Secretary General presented the audit report on ISTA’s finances. The year 2005 ended up with a small profit. The Executive Committee congratulated the Secretary General for the data presented. Especially
Welcome to our Annual Meeting in Glattbrugg, Switzerland.

Your President,
Pieter Oosterveld

In a few months only, from June 26 to June 29, 2006 to be exact, the largest international meeting of seed analysts will take place – the ISTA Annual Meeting 2006. This year it will be held in Glattbrugg, Switzerland at the Novotel Hotel Zurich Airport.

Seed analysts, seed scientists and government representatives from around 76 countries are expected to participate in this conference. It will allow a profound exchange of opinions regarding all aspects of seed science and technology, provide a unique possibility to make new contacts to other people involved in the seed business and will give the possibility to talk about the own experiences in the area of seed science and technology and to listen to others about their experiences.

Besides this unique opportunity to discuss with experts in the field of seed science and technology, ISTA has elaborated a very interesting programme for the Annual Meeting 2006, which is published in this issue of Seed Testing International.

FOCAL POINTS

The focal points of the programme this year are very important for the future development of the Association. They are:

- Discussion regarding the future strategy of ISTA
- Testing of specified traits in the session of the GMO Task Force
- Discussion on the ISTA Rules Proposals.

Discussion on ISTA Strategy

The discussion on the strategy of an association is indeed the most important task of its membership. At the Annual Meeting 2006 the ISTA membership will start the first discussion round regarding the ISTA strategy for the upcoming years.

In a faster and faster getting world with more and more technical know how, the developments in the single countries expand with different speed, also in the area of seed science and technology.

For an association with the vision of ‘Uniformity in Seed Testing’ all over the world, the task to achieve this vision will also become more and more complex. Therefore a clever strategy needs to be developed, how we can work towards our vision, what is necessary on the different continents and how can we support countries, which obviously have problems to follow these rapid developments.

The ISTA Executive Committee has developed a strategy, which should intensively be discussed among the ISTA membership.

Specified Trait Testing in the Session of the GMO Task Force

Specified trait testing is one of the topics being discussed most controversially and most intensively over the past time. The ISTA GMO Task Force has not only enthusiastically participated in the discussions, but has actively elaborated a system to achieve true and reproducible results in the specified trait testing in ISTA accredited laboratories all over the world.

The GMO Task Force rules chapter working group has established ISTA Rules for the testing of specified traits, which have formally been approved by the ISTA membership at the Annual Meeting 2005 in Bangkok, Thailand and are in force since February 1, 2006. The proficiency test working group, which has already executed 6 rounds of the ISTA international proficiency test in regard to specified trait testing with nearly 100 participating laboratories from all over the world, has elaborated an evaluation system for its proficiency test and has used this system to evaluate the performance of the participating laboratories. The ISTA accreditation working group and the statistics working group of the GMO Task Force have established an accreditation system for laboratories testing for the adventitious presence of specified traits.
traits in seed lots, as well as for trait purity of specified trait seed lots.

At the ISTA Annual Meeting 2006, information and presentations will be given on the current work of the ISTA GMO Task Force, the evaluation system of the ISTA GMO proficiency test and the ISTA accreditation programme for the testing of adventitious presence of specified traits in seed lots as well as trait purity of specified trait seed lots.

**The ISTA Rules Proposals**

Also this year a number of rules proposals will be brought to the attention of the government representatives, who will finally make an official vote to approve or reject the proposed rules changes submitted by the 16 ISTA Technical Committees.

Proposals regarding the bulking and sampling, the inclusion of new species into the ISTA Rules, the introduction of new seed heath testing methods and variety testing methods will be up for voting, just to mention some of the proposed ISTA Rules changes.

**PRESENTATION OF THE ISTA TECHNICAL COMMITTEES**

The Technical Committees are the heart of the Association. They are developing and validating the seed testing methods to be included in the ISTA International Rules for Seed Testing, they organise workshops for training and information exchange and they produce the ISTA handbooks in their working fields.

On Monday, June 26, 2006 all ISTA Technical Committees will give a presentation on the status of their current work. It will be a comprehensive overview of all the various activities in the different committees and will be a unique summary of the latest international developments in regard to seed science and technology.

**ORDINARY MEETING**

The Ordinary Meeting is the business meeting of the Association where the decisions regarding the future development of the Association take place. A set of different documents which will be up for decision at our Ordinary Meeting will be distributed to all ISTA members.

This year the following documents will be distributed to all ISTA members and interested persons, to achieve an optimal preparation of our Ordinary Meeting:

- Minutes of the ISTA Ordinary Meeting 2005 (published)
- Activity Report 2005 of the International Seed Testing Association (published)
- Draft ISTA Strategy (published)
- Proposed changes to the ISTA Constitution (published)
- Proposed Fixation of the annual subscriptions (published)
- Proposed Rules changes 2006
- Method validation reports

All these documents can also be downloaded free of charge by anybody interested from the ISTA Website [http://www.seedtest.org/en/content---1--1204.html](http://www.seedtest.org/en/content---1--1204.html).

On the following pages of this issue of *Seed Testing International* you can already find the final programme of this meeting, the proposed changes to the ISTA Constitution, the proposed fixation of the annual subscriptions and the draft ISTA strategy.

As said above, the ISTA Annual Meeting 2006 will not only be a unique chance to meet with seed scientists and seed technologists from all over the world to converse, have fundamental discussions and exchange on challenges, opinions and problems, but furthermore the ISTA Annual Meeting 2006 will have a very interesting programme to discuss about the future strategy to work towards ‘Uniformity in seed testing’.

It will indeed be my pleasure to welcome you personally at this interesting ISTA Annual Meeting 2006.

Michael Muschick
Secretary General

---

This document contains the Reports 2005 of the Executive Committee, the Secretary General, Proficiency Test Committee, Rules Committee and Editorial Board and the Working Programmes 2004-2007 of the Technical Committees as approved by the Executive Committee of ISTA.

These Reports have been circulated to all members of the Association for consideration and adoption at the upcoming Meeting of the Association, which is the ISTA Ordinary Meeting 2006 in Glattbrugg/Zurich, Switzerland, June 28 and 29.

Available as of March 15, 2006, from the ISTA Secretariat or download it for free at [www.seedtest.org](http://www.seedtest.org)
Proposed Changes to the ISTA Constitution

For consideration and decision at the Ordinary Meeting in Zurich, Switzerland June 26 - 29, 2006

INTRODUCTION

The Executive Committee of ISTA is suggesting to amend the ISTA Constitution and is therefore seeking approval by the Designated Members of proposed changes in the following areas:

1. Align the ISTA Membership Categories with the present Subscription Categories
2. Introduce a New Membership Category ‘Corporate Member’
3. Introduce a New Membership Category ‘Associate Member’
4. Introduce a New Membership Category ‘Honorary Life Member’

At the upcoming Ordinary Meeting 2006 in Glattbrugg/Zurich, Switzerland, the Designated Members designated by their respective Designated Authority to vote on behalf of the Government in their country, will be asked to vote area by area.

In this document you will find the current text of the Constitution Article of concern on the left side and the proposed new version of the same Constitution Article on the right side. In order to make the necessary changes in the Constitution in one area, it is possible that more than one Article of the Constitution needs to be changed. However, please keep in mind that voting will not be made Article by Article but as a package area by area.

Please take into consideration that according to ISTA Constitution Articles XII (a) and (b), modifications of the text presented in the Constitution Change Proposals can not be made during the Ordinary Meeting.

The Executive Committee of ISTA recommends all of the presented Constitution Change Proposals for adoption by the Designated Members entitled to vote.

According to ISTA Constitution Articles IX (b) and XII (c), the motion to alter the Constitution requires for adoption at least a two-thirds majority of those Designated Members voting, provided a quorum is present.

Note that the term ‘Designated Member’ would be defined in a new IV(b) under the heading ‘Designated Member’, and would no longer be included under ‘Membership’. The definition would make it clear that a Designated Member is a person (who must be a Personal Member) and not a laboratory.

1.2 Proposal

That the present Article IV of the ISTA Constitution be changed to:

Current version:

ARTICLE IV

Designated Authority

(a) A Designated Authority is an authority designated by a government of a country or Distinct Economy as recognised by international fora to act on its behalf in designating Designated Members and in liaison with the Association.

Membership

(b) Designated Members are persons and/or laboratories engaged in the science or practice of seed testing or in the technical control of such activities, who are designated by their respective Designated Authority and admitted by the Association to participate in the affairs of the Association.

(c) A Member is a person or seed laboratory which supports the Association and its objects and is admitted by the Association.

(d) The duly designated Designated Members shall be entitled to vote in meetings of the Association, subject to the provisions of Article IX.

IV(d) then goes on to say that “the duly designated Designated Members shall be entitled to vote …”, but does not specify whether this refers to a person or laboratory as the Designated Member.

1.1 Background

1.1.1 The present Constitution allows four types of membership

viz Designated Member (person) Designated Member (laboratory) 
Member (person) 
Member (laboratory)

IV(b) IV(c)

1.1.2 Normally, a member of an association or society pays a membership fee (or subscription). ISTA’s membership categories as per the Constitution are not in agreement with the categories of subscription that ISTA currently sets i.e. per laboratory and per person.

1.1.3 The present subscription categories are:

• Member Laboratory
• Personal Member

This would require deleting the present IV(b) from the Constitution, writing a new IV(c) (to define a Member Laboratory) and changing IV(d) to define a Personal Member.
A Corporate Member:

- would receive two copies of all ISTA’s publications including *Seed Science and Technology*
- would nominate one representative to act on behalf of the Corporate Member. That representative would be entitled to pay the ISTA Member registration fees for all ISTA meetings, but could not be designated as a Designated Member and therefore could not vote or hold office in the Association.
- would have their name published annually in the Activity Report under the heading ‘Corporate Members’ and their name would also appear prominently under the same heading at ISTA Ordinary Meetings and Congresses.

ISTA’s Technical Committees include people who are not ISTA Members, yet who are obviously interested in ISTA and its goals. To allow an opportunity for more individuals to become ISTA Members, a new membership category ‘Association Member’ is proposed, on the clear understanding that while the subscription for this membership category would be lower than that for a Personal Member, the Associate Members will not be entitled to the same level of ISTA services. i.e. an Associate Member

- would receive priority for membership of an ISTA Technical Committee over individuals who were not ISTA Members
- would be entitled to pay the ISTA Member registration fees for all ISTA meetings.
- would be entitled to purchase ISTA publications at the Member’s price
- would receive *Seed Testing International* but not the ISTA Rules, ISTA Handbooks, or *Seed Science and Technology*
- Could not be designated as a Designated Member and therefore could not vote or hold office in the Association.

3.2 Proposal

That an addition be made to Article IV of the ISTA Constitution:

Current version:

```
ARTICLE IV

Membership

(e) An Accredited Laboratory...
```

Proposed version:

```
ARTICLE IV

Membership

(e) A Corporate Member...
```

Note: if this proposal is accepted, the present clause ‘(e)’ in Proposal 1 would become ‘(f)’.

PROPOSAL 3 – INTRODUCE A NEW MEMBERSHIP CATEGORY ‘ASSOCIATE MEMBERSHIP’

3.1 Background

For many individuals, meeting the costs of an ISTA Personal Membership is difficult. Therefore, it is proposed that a new membership category ‘Associate Member’ be introduced.

Proposed version:

```
ARTICLE IV

Membership

(f) An Accredited Laboratory...
```

Note: if this proposal is accepted, then clauses ‘(e)’ and ‘(f)’ from Proposal 2 would become ‘(f)’ and ‘(g)’.

PROPOSAL 2 – INTRODUCTION OF A NEW MEMBERSHIP CATEGORY ‘CORPORATE MEMBERSHIP’

2.1 Background

Internationally there are many organisations (international, national, private, company, etc) which value ISTA’s work and would be prepared to pay an appropriate annual fee to support the Association. It is proposed that a new membership category ‘Corporate Member’ be introduced.

Proposed version:

```
ARTICLE IV

Designated Authority

(a) A Designated Authority is an authority designated by a government of a country or Distinct Economy as recognised by international fora to act on its behalf in designating Designated Members and in liaison with the Association.

(b) A Designated Member is a Personal Member designated by their Designated Authority and, subject to the provisions of Article IX, entitled to vote in meetings of the Association.

(c) A Member Laboratory is a laboratory engaged in the testing of seed which supports the Association and its objectives, and is admitted by the Association. A Personal Member may be nominated by a Member Laboratory to represent the Member Laboratory in the affairs of the Association.

(d) A Personal Member is a person engaged in the science or practice of seed testing or in the technical control of such activities who supports the Association and its objectives and is admitted by the Association. A Personal Member may be nominated by a Member Laboratory to represent the Member Laboratory in the affairs of the Association.

2.2 Proposal

That an addition be made to Article IV of the ISTA Constitution:

Current version:

```
ARTICLE IV

Membership

(e) An Accredited Laboratory...
```

Proposed version:

```
ARTICLE IV

Membership

(e) A Corporate Member...
```

Note: if this proposal is accepted, the present clause ‘(e)’ in Proposal 1 would become ‘(f)’.

Current version:

```
ARTICLE IV

Membership

(e) An Accredited Laboratory...
```

Proposed version:

```
ARTICLE IV

Membership

(f) An Accredited Laboratory...
```

Note: if this proposal is accepted, then clauses ‘(e)’ and ‘(f)’ from Proposal 2 would become ‘(f)’ and ‘(g)’.

Current version:

```
ARTICLE IV

Membership

(f) An Accredited Laboratory...
```

Proposed version:

```
ARTICLE IV

Membership

(g) An Associate Member...
```

Note: if this proposal is accepted, then clauses ‘(f)’ and ‘(g)’ from Proposal 2 would become ‘(f)’ and ‘(g)’.
PROPOSAL 4 – INTRODUCTION OF A NEW MEMBERSHIP CATEGORY ‘HONORARY LIFE MEMBER’

4.1 Background

Many organisations have membership categories (e.g. Fellow, Life Member) that recognise the significant contribution made by an individual to that organisation. ISTA has had such individuals over the years and will continue to do so. Some form of ‘recognition’ membership is appropriate. It is proposed that a new membership category ‘Honorary Life Member’ be introduced.

A Honorary Life Member

• would be a person, who in the opinion of the Association, has made an outstanding contribution to the Association
• would be elected by the Executive Committee after the Executive Committee
  had received a nomination proposed and seconded by ISTA Members accompanied by details of the nominee’s contributions to ISTA
• would not pay a membership fee
• would receive Seed Testing International but no other ISTA publications
• would be entitled to pay the ISTA Member registration fees for all ISTA meetings
• would not be designated as a Designated Member and therefore could not vote or hold office in the Association
• would have his/her name published annually in the Activity Report under the heading ‘Honorary Life Members’.

4.2 Proposal

That an addition be made to Article IV of the ISTA Constitution:

Current version:

ARTICLE IV
Membership

Proposed version:

ARTICLE IV
Membership

(g) An Honorary Life Member is a person who in the opinion of the Association has made an outstanding contribution to the Association, and has been honoured by election to the status.

Note: if this proposal is accepted, the clause ‘(g)’ in Proposal 3 would become ‘(h)’.

Proposed Fixation of Annual Subscriptions
For consideration and decision at the Ordinary Meeting in Zurich, Switzerland, June 26 - 29, 2006

INTRODUCTION

If the ISTA membership agrees to accept the new membership categories as suggested under proposal 2. and 3. of the ‘Proposed Changes to the ISTA Constitution’ for consideration and decision at the Ordinary Meeting 2006, then new fee categories will need to be introduced for Associate and Corporate Membership.

Provided one or both of the above mentioned new membership categories have been accepted by the ISTA membership, the Executive Committee of ISTA will be seeking approval by the Designated Members of proposed fixations of the annual subscription fees for Associate and Corporate Members as proposed herein.

1. Associate Member fee
2. Corporate Member fee

At the upcoming Ordinary Meeting 2006 in Glattbrugg/Zurich, Switzerland, the Designated Members designated by their respective Designated Authority to vote on behalf of the Government in their country, will be asked to vote point by point.

The Executive Committee of ISTA recommends all of the presented annual subscriptions for adoption by the Designated Members entitled to vote.

According to ISTA Constitution Articles IX (b) and XII (c), the motion to fix the annual subscriptions requires for adoption at least a simple majority of those Designated Members voting, provided a quorum is present.

PROPOSAL FOR MEMBERSHIP FEES FOR ASSOCIATE AND CORPORATE MEMBERS

If the ISTA membership agrees to accept new membership categories, then new fee categories will need to be introduced for Associate and Corporate Membership.

1. ASSOCIATE MEMBER FEE

The Associate Member fee should be set at a level which will be attractive to those working for ISTA but currently not members, yet must also cover any costs for the services ISTA provides as a condition of this membership. For this reason, a fee of Swiss Francs CHF 200.- per year is proposed. This covers the annual costs of ISTA publications.

2. CORPORATE MEMBER FEE

A Corporate Member fee is paid by the member to signify their support for the goals and objectives of the organisation. It is not meant to simply reflect the costs of the services received. For this reason, a fee of Swiss Francs CHF 10’000.- per year is proposed.
INTRODUCTION

Dear ISTA Member,

The draft strategy presented in this document was elaborated and approved by the ISTA Executive Committee and is herewith circulated to the ISTA Members for consideration.

To bring the ISTA strategy into force the ISTA Executive Committee has foreseen a three step process over one year:

1. Step – First Discussion – Annual Meeting 2006

In the first step the draft strategy as outlined in this document will be orally presented by the ISTA President to the participants of the ISTA Ordinary Meeting on Wednesday, June 28, 2006.

During that meeting, the draft strategy should be intensively discussed among the ISTA membership and the ISTA stakeholders.

The ISTA Executive Committee will take note of the comments, concerns or proposals for modifications after reading this document, you are welcome to send these in a written form to the ISTA Secretariat already before the ISTA Annual Meeting 2006.

With kind regards

Pieter Oosterveld Michael Muschick
ISTA President ISTA Secretary General

Draft ISTA Strategy
[Version 2007 – 2010]

ISTA IS THE INDEPENDENT INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR SEED TESTING

Vision: Uniformity in Seed Testing worldwide

Mission: ISTA achieves its vision by producing internationally agreed rules for seed sampling and testing, accrediting laboratories, and providing international seed analysis certificates, training and dissemination of seed science and technology knowledge.

SOME HEADLINES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF ISTA OVER THE PAST TEN YEARS

- ISTA continued its role as the international association for seed science and technology and seed testing;
- ISTA membership increased from 64 countries (April 1994) to 76 countries and from 136 laboratories to 171 laboratories;
- ISTA opened its membership for seed company and private seed testing laboratories;
- ISTA has added method validation as an important part of method development;
- ISTA reviewed the referee tests system and transformed it into a proficiency test system, including clear and transparent standards for the required performance;
- ISTA introduced an accreditation standard for seed testing laboratories that meets the standards of internationally accepted accreditation, including an audit procedure that guarantees a worldwide uniform application of the standard;
- ISTA decided to open the accreditation system for seed company laboratories, including the issuance of the ISTA international certificates;
- ISTA has enhanced the decision-making process by introducing annual meetings;
- ISTA professionalised its internal organisation, including the introduction of modern office tools and techniques for communication;
- ISTA strengthened the relation and collaboration with international operating organisations and associations;
- ISTA and FAO concluded upon a Memorandum of Understanding for future co-operation.

CONSIDERATIONS

The increasing interest in ISTA and its activities indicates the importance of ISTA as a service provider for governments, international organisations, and the national and international seed trade. In a time of globalisation, seed industry in many countries entered into the international market. Governments want to support the industry and seek for co-operation with internationally operating associations such as ISTA. The ISTA rules,
including the accreditation standard, is of increasing value for the goal of uniformity in seed testing. More and more, companies are doing business on the basis of bilateral agreements, including reference to the ISTA rules and methods.

IN CONCLUSION

The work of ISTA is very much appreciated and valuable for governments, international organisations and the seed industry. ISTA should continue its work and the development of the association.

STRATEGY

1. Method development
Method development is an important activity for ISTA. The respective technical committees play a leading role in these activities. ISTA will make a study of the process of method development in order to examine whether the current structure needs changes. Important issues for the study are: the adjustment of the work to market demands, the availability of experts, financial aspects, etc. The association will continue to organise annual meetings in order to facilitate contacts between the experts. Contacts between experts, either as members of committees or as individuals, are important for the exchange of information and ideas. The association will continue to give support to the activities of the committees by supplying assistance from the secretariat.

2. Method validation
Method validation is an important element of method development and recognition. ISTA is developing a generic method validation programme for implementation. Methods developed by any person or laboratory can be submitted to ISTA for validation. A business plan will be elaborated.

3. Seed science
ISTA seed symposia have to compete with more specialised congresses and symposia. Nevertheless, part of the core business of ISTA is seed science. Therefore, the association will redefine the aim and structure of its symposia. The executive committee will seek cooperation with other associations that are active in the field of seed science. Furthermore, the executive committee is considering other avenues for expanding seed science.

4. Training
ISTA will continue to organise workshops. ISTA wants to extend the collaboration with other organisations, especially for workshops in areas where seed testing is still in an early stage of development. ISTA realises that a professional approach to training programmes and workshops is needed. In response to the expressed wish for ISTA training, the association is developing a seed analyst training programme. The association will explore the possibilities of distance learning programmes.

5. Certificates
The ISTA certificate is a valuable document, providing a lot of information about quality of the seed involved. The association will examine how to increase the usefulness of ISTA certificates. Advantages and disadvantages of changing the wording from ‘ISTA Certificates’ to ‘ISTA Seed Testing Reports’ will be explored.

6. Accreditation
The accreditation programme of ISTA is very well accepted. Worldwide around 100 laboratories have been accredited. The performance of the laboratories has improved. The ISTA audits are very well received by most of the laboratories. The association sees the accreditation standard as an important part of the ISTA work. The basic principles of the audit procedures will remain untouched. However as before, comments and advice of auditees will be continuously subject to discussion and consideration in order to remain as effective and efficient as possible. The audits on the recently agreed performance based approach for testing on specified traits will continuously be reviewed, in order to find the best way to assure the quality of the laboratory.

7. International recognition
ISTA is a well-known international association. However, the association feels that not all governments, institutes, organisations and seed companies are aware of the benefits and possibilities ISTA can offer them. ISTA wants to show its value to all those who are working in the seed science and seed testing area. ISTA will start a campaign to enhance international recognition.

8. Products
ISTA’s products are of great value to members and are also sold to non-members. The association is investigating ways to increase sales and reduce costs. Electronic publishing and distribution will be investigated.

9. Membership
While ISTA’s membership has increased over the past 10 years, there is still potential for membership growth. The association will actively seek new members.

Exhibition at the ISTA Annual Meeting 2006

limited availability only - prime location !!

If you are interested in renting a booth at the ISTA Annual Meeting 2006 in Zurich, Switzerland, please contact the meeting organisers at meetings@ista.ch.

Costs per booth: EURO 1,000.00
(includes registration fee for one person)
The International Seed Testing Association (ISTA) takes pleasure in inviting you to participate in the ISTA Annual Meeting 2006, to be held from June 26 – 29 in Glattbrugg / Zurich, Switzerland.

The meeting is aimed at discussing and deciding on proposals for changes to the ISTA International Rules for Seed Testing and business items of the Association, with the international participation of ISTA delegates and representatives from both the seed industry and governments, including experts in seed technology, scientific research and laboratory accreditation.

The main subjects of the meeting will be:
- **Discussion on a draft ISTA Strategy**
- **Testing for the presence of specified trait(s) by the performance based approach (including GM testing)** – reports and evaluations on the international proficiency tests and update on the work of the corresponding ISTA GMO Task Force Working Groups
- **Generic Method Validation** – latest update and future planning on the efforts of the Working Group
- **Amalgamation of the ISTA Rules** – latest update on the work
- **ISTA Quality Assurance Programme** – report and evaluation on the accreditation of laboratories world-wide

**Final Programme**

**SUNDAY**

**June 25, 2006**

**REGISTRATION**

16:00 - 18:00  
*Registration of Participants at Novotel Zürich Airport*

18:30 - 20:00  
*Welcome Cocktail (sponsored by the Swiss Federal Office for Agriculture)*

**MONDAY**

**JUNE 26, 2006**

**SESSIONS - Presentation of Working Programmes, activities and special projects**

07:30 - 18:00  
*Registration of Participants at Novotel Zürich Airport*

08:45 - 09:00  
*Welcome by ISTA*

09:00 - 09:30  
*Bulking and Sampling Committee Session*

09:30 - 10:00  
*Purity Committee Session*

10:00 - 10:30  
*Germination Committee Session*

10:30 - 11:00  
*Coffee break*

11:00 - 11:30  
*Tetrazolium Committee Session*

11:30 - 12:00  
*Vigour Committee Session*

12:00 - 12:30  
*Moisture Committee Session*

12:30 - 13:30  
*Lunch*

13:30 - 13:45  
*Editorial Board Session (Seed Science & Technology)*

13:45 - 14:15  
*Statistics Committee Session*

14:15 - 14:45  
*Seed Health Committee Session*

14:45 - 15:15  
*Proficiency Test Committee Session*

15:15 - 15:45  
*Coffee break*

15:45 - 16:15  
*Variety Committee Session*

16:15 - 16:45  
*Flower Seed Committee Session*

16:45 - 17:15  
*Forest Tree and Shrub Seed Committee Session*

17:15 - 17:30  
*Nomenclature Committee Session*

17:30 - 18:00  
*Seed Storage Committee Session*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>June 27, 2006</th>
<th>June 28, 2006</th>
<th>June 29, 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TUESDAY</strong></td>
<td><strong>SESSIONS - Discussion on current important issues</strong></td>
<td><strong>ORDINARY MEETING (DAY 1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>ORDINARY MEETING (DAY 2)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:30 - 09:30</td>
<td>GMO Task Force Session</td>
<td>Welcome</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:30 - 10:00</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
<td>Presentation on the Development of the Seed Industry in Europe and the Role of ISTA</td>
<td>11. Consideration and Adoption of the proposed Rules Changes 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 - 11:00</td>
<td>GMO Task Force Session [cont.]</td>
<td>10:00 - 10:30</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 - 13:30</td>
<td>Time allocated for Technical Committee Meetings</td>
<td>10:30 - 12:00</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 - 13:30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>1. Call to order</td>
<td>11. Consideration and Adoption of the proposed Rules Changes 2006 [cont.]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30 - 14:30</td>
<td>Accreditation Session</td>
<td>2. President’s address</td>
<td>12. Consideration and Adoption of reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30 - 15:30</td>
<td>Rules Committee Session</td>
<td>3. Roll call of Designated Members entitled to vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30 - 16:00</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
<td>4. Reading and acceptance of Minutes</td>
<td>12:00 - 13:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00 - 17:30</td>
<td>Rules Committee Session [cont.]</td>
<td>5. Report of the Executive Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEDNESDAY</strong></td>
<td><strong>ORDINARY MEETING (DAY 1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>ORDINARY MEETING (DAY 1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>ORDINARY MEETING (DAY 2)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00 - 10:00</td>
<td>Welcome</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 2)</td>
<td>11. Consideration and Adoption of the proposed Rules Changes 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 - 10:30</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
<td>8. Discussion of the ISTA Draft Strategy with the ISTA Membership</td>
<td>10:30 - 11:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 - 12:00</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 1)</td>
<td>15:00 - 15:30</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Call to order</td>
<td>15:30 - 17:00</td>
<td>9. Constitution changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. President’s address</td>
<td></td>
<td>10. Fixation of annual subscriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Roll call of Designated Members entitled to vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Reading and acceptance of Minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Report of the Executive Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Report of the Secretary General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Presentation of ISTA Draft Strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 13:30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30 - 15:00</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00 - 15:30</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30 - 17:00</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00</td>
<td>Depart from hotel for Official Dinner by bus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:30</td>
<td>Official Dinner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THURSDAY</strong></td>
<td><strong>ORDINARY MEETING (DAY 2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>ORDINARY MEETING (DAY 2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>ORDINARY MEETING (DAY 2)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00 - 10:30</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 4)</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 5)</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 - 11:00</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
<td>11. Consideration and Adoption of the proposed Rules Changes 2006 [cont.]</td>
<td>13. Announcement of the place and date for the next Ordinary Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 - 12:00</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 5)</td>
<td>12. Consideration and Adoption of reports</td>
<td>14. Any other business raised by a Member, of which notice in writing has been received by the Secretary General two months prior to the date of the meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 13:00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td>15. Any other business raised by consent of the Executive Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00 - 14:30</td>
<td>Ordinary Meeting (Block 6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>16. President’s closing address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17. Adjournment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 5th Annual EESNET Meeting
November 13 - 15 2005, Sofia, Bulgaria

By Katalin Ertsey, ISTA 1st Vice-President
National Institute for Agricultural Quality Control, Budapest, Hungary, ertseyk@ommi.hu

The Eastern European Seed Network or EESNET is a regional integration of national seed associations or other such grouping in central and eastern Europe. The Network entails participation but without any membership fees.

DECLARATION
Countries of central and eastern Europe being represented by their national seed associations and/or seed enterprises have decided that:

• in the absence of a regional seed sector integration
• due to a general lack of information on the regional seed sector
• in need of developing wide seed sector contacts and
• in the face of a need to develop a common position with respect to topical matters in the seed industry

to create a new type of regional integration in central and eastern Europe. Countries in this region will integrate in a structure without strict membership rules and duties under the name “Eastern European Seed Network” or EESNET.

MISSION
The basic mission of the network is an information exchange at the regional and world wide level.

The most important meeting of the Eastern European seed sector was held in this year in the Bulgarian capital in Sofia. More than 250 registered participants from about 15 countries interested for the object:

Challenges for Seed Market in an Enlarged Europe.

The presentations and experiences came from different parts of Europe from the old and new member states and from third countries. Bulgarian organizers made a good job. But the main responsibility of the Meeting took over Prof. Dr. Atanas ATANASOV, member of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences and president of the Association for Seed and Planting Material with his assistant Dr. Ivelin RIZOV.

The Meeting was accepted and welcomed on the highest official level by the Deputy Minister of Agriculture and Forestry Dr. Svetlan BATCHAROV.

The EESNET have to work in close-cooperation with the European Seed Association they are active on the same market this was the message of Secretary General Garlich v. ESSEN.

On the Meeting Katalin ERTSEY 1st Vice-President of ISTA and Jean Marie DEBOIS from OECD presented the structure and activity of their organisations.

The ISTA presentation focused on importance of accreditation and the progress made by ISTA in the last period. After that, was evaluated the role of Eastern European labs inside the Association and activity of experts.

Table 1. The present situation compared the Western and the Eastern regions of the EU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of laboratory</th>
<th>Eastern Europe (number of labs)</th>
<th>Western Europe (number of labs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISTA Member Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official labs</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISTA Accredited Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official labs</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries without accredited laboratory</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries without any ISTA laboratory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monitored countries in Eastern European Region: Albania, Belarus, Bosnia, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Croatia, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Russia, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Ukraine
Monitored countries in Western European Region: old EU member states, Switzerland and Norway

Katalin Ertsey, ISTA 1st Vice President
EVIC Secretary General of EESNET (Serbia-Montenegro) with her excellent overview: EESNET Present, Past and Future.

Table 1. The present situation compared the Western and the Eastern regions of the EU

Bulgarian, Hungarian, Polish, Romanian and...
Serbian experts together with German and French speakers exchanged their information and experiences concerning the influence of the enlarged Europe to the seed market and conducted the market and the development of the seed business in the last year.

The second day gave the opportunity for bilateral discussions and for business meetings.

ISTA was very high respected on the Meeting during TV and Radio interview as well. The interest came first of all from non accredited ISTA member laboratories.

The Congress venue and accommodation were excellent.

The 6th EESNET Meeting will be in Opatia, Croatia in November 2006.

Figure 1. Participation of experts in the ISTA Technical Committees from the different Regions

Atanas Atanasov Mirjana Milosevic

Agriculture Genetically Modified Products Workshop of the Gulf Cooperation Council of the Arab States (GCC)
Bahrain, December 6 - 7, 2005

By Bettina Kahler, Head of ISTA Technical Committee Administration
ISTA Secretariat, Switzerland, bettina.kahler@ista.ch

The Agriculture Genetically Modified Products Workshop of the Gulf Cooperation Council of the Arab States (GCC) was held in Manama, Bahrain, from December 6 to 7, 2005. The aim of the meeting was to develop key points for future concerted actions in regard to establishment of regulations related to GM agriculture products for the whole GCC region.

Around 50 delegates, scientists and governmental authorities, from GCC states Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Dubai, and Saudi Arabia, as well as from other countries such as Egypt, Sudan and United States attended the workshop. Further, international and regional organisations were invited to present information to regulatory issues in other regions as well as to the technical background of biotechnology development, i.e. technology of GM products.

The workshop was structured into four sessions. Twelve presentations in English or Arabic were given and each followed by a question period with intensive discussions in the sessions:
1. National, regional and international activities and regulations related to genetically modified foods;
2. Risks and benefits of genetically modified foods and their health effects on human, animal and the environment; and
3. Methods and techniques on disclosing modified foods and capacity building.

These sessions were used to provide all delegates the same background knowledge.

In the final session the GCC delegates developed conclusions, i.e. the key points for further joint activities related to GM agriculture products regulations.

Bettina Kahler from the ISTA Secretariat represented ISTA and FAO (Food and Agriculture Organisation). The participation of ISTA in this workshop was sponsored by FAO. A contribution to the workshop was made by presenting a paper with the title ‘Detection of GM Seed in Imported Seeds’. The presentation gave an overview on the complexity of specified trait(s) testing; ISTA’s approach to ensure reliability and accuracy of results all over the world, when testing seeds for specific trait(s); and ISTA’s and FAO’s joint activities to provide technical help and to support capacity building in the different regions of the world.

An ISTA/FAO Workshop on Electrophoretic Methods and PCR-Techniques for Variety Verification and GMO Detection in the GCC region is planned for the future.
Seeds have been a key element in international agricultural development for over 30 years. Following the experience of the Green Revolution in the 1960s, many development agencies began to focus attention on ‘improved seeds’ and in 1973 FAO launched its Seed Improvement and Development Programme (SIDP). The result was an upsurge of donor-funded projects implemented through government ministries or agencies. These put in place the key components of seed supply including specialized facilities such as processing plants and quality control services, in countries where none had existed before.

The approach in those early years was essentially technical, and strongly influenced by experience from countries with a highly developed agricultural industry. Put simply, the belief was that if good quality seed could be made available, farmers would come to buy it and, on realising the benefits, would become regular customers of the ‘formal’ seed sector. The importance of seed quality was recognised but marketing was generally neglected, or simply evaded by providing subsidies to reduce the seed price.

Some of these activities gave seed projects a rather start in many countries and the high expectations that seeds would be an ‘engine of development’ were not fulfilled. Donors became disillusioned and looked for explanations - but of course we should recognise that the provision of high quality seeds is a management intensive activity, and even more demanding in tropical regions where storage life is often limited and weak infrastructure makes distribution difficult. It was hardly surprising that bureaucratic government agencies often failed to ‘deliver the goods’ to small-scale farmers out in the rural areas.

From the late 1980s privatisation became fashionable and state-owned seed enterprises were seen as prime candidates, both to bring much needed efficiency gains in their management, and to dispose of loss-making ‘asset’. In addition, multinational companies entered the market in many countries introducing new varieties and creating competition with strong marketing experience. But of course, their crop portfolio was highly selective for the hybrids (especially maize) and small-seeded vegetables. Besides promoting the private sector, donors have subsequently embraced a host of new priorities such as sustainability, gender, poverty alleviation, human rights, environmental impact and HIV/AIDS. All are important, but they make considerable demands on the design and successful implementation of development projects.

Yet another change in the past 15 years has been the entry into the ‘development arena’ of countries which were aligned with the former Soviet Union and had centrally-planned economies. With the decline of that system, these countries are now in a process of economic transition. This has special implications for seed supply which was an integral part of the central planning process, and highly structured as a result. Seed production was part of the overall agricultural plan but it had no basis in a competitive market where farmers could make their own decisions. The transition to a free-market has therefore been a difficult process, except where profitable hybrid crops like maize and sunflower predominate, as in some East European countries. The same pain has been felt by many plant breeding institutions which often enjoyed a key position and generous funding under the socialist system.

At the same time, many changes have taken place in the mature seed industries of industrialised countries. For example the withdrawal of government funding for services and the requirement for cost recovery, or even complete privatisation of the services in some cases. ISTA responded to this changing climate with its opening up to private licensed laboratories in 1995 accompanied by the introduction of a laboratory accreditation scheme. WTO obligations, plant variety protection, access to plant genetic resources, and the advent of biotechnology/GMOs are further ‘big issues’ which now brighten the global seed landscape - or cloud it, some would say.

It is clear that the context for seeds in development has become much more complicated over the years. We have come a long way since projects had just to build seed labs and processing plants and train their staff. Yet it is still a basic fact that improved varieties and high quality seeds are a vital input to improving agricultural production, which remains a priority in the countries with many rural poor. Genetic gains in yield potential or quality from plant breeding remain a cost effective way to help farmers and seeds provide the means to transfer those gains from research into agriculture.

Women planting rice - a classic scene from the Vietnamese countryside. In the north of the country, the crop is entirely transplanted, and there is a significant proportion of hybrid varieties. In the south, especially in the Mekong Delta, direct sowing is normal, but this increases seed cost, and no hybrids are used yet.
Poverty alleviation is the guiding principle for most aid donors nowadays and it is enshrined in the UN Millenium Development Goals set for 2015. However, there are many ways to reduce poverty, for example by targeting the poorest people or by promoting general economic development. Seeds illustrate this dilemma very clearly: should we concentrate on strengthening ‘formal’ seed systems which mostly serve the more commercial farmers or try to improve community-based seed initiatives which are embedded in the local farming system and closer to the poor? There are strong advocates for each approach but in practice both have their place.

So where does Vietnam fit into this story? Because of a series of conflicts, the country was one of the last to receive official development assistance from the major donors. The turning point in Vietnam’s recent economic development came in 1986 when the renovation policy of ‘Doi Moi’ (new way) was introduced, leading to wide-ranging reforms in all sectors of the economy, including agriculture. Since the early 1990’s, there has been a dramatic increase in the production of rice for domestic and export markets, and several cash crops such as coffee, pepper and cashew nut. In recent years, an annual growth rate of 7 – 8% has been maintained in the economy, with a rapid expansion of the industrial sector and a steady reduction in rural poverty.

Danish International Development Assistance (Danida) has been the main donor to ‘Vietnam Seeds’ through its Agriculture Sector Programme based in the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MARD). This programme has a number of components among which ‘Seed’ is the largest in terms of funding. The Seed Component works within MARD directly, with a number of specialized technical agencies and with the local administration in seven provinces. Through a wide range of activities, it assists almost all parts of the national seed system from policies and the legal framework, through regulatory procedures and services to commercial seed production and farmer-based training (see Table 1). Training and institutional capacity building remain a key element, reflecting the importance of human resources in all seed activities.

As in the past, seed quality assurance is a prime candidate for support through training and investment. Accreditation of staff and seed testing labs is now high on the agenda as MARD intends to devolve much of the responsibility for seed quality to those who produce and market it. This approach is now favoured by most developed countries but they have reached that stage after a long period of ‘commercial evolution’. In Vietnam, and many other developing countries, the framework for quality assurance is still fragile, both in terms of trained staff and facilities. Danida has supported a comprehensive consultancy in accreditation procedures (by former ISTA Executive Officer Heinz Schmid) and accreditation of the national laboratory in Hanoi is in progress now. The same laboratory hosted a regional ISTA/APSA training course in 2004.

The variety release system is also being strengthened through staff training and other investments to establish a testing system for distinctness, uniformity and stability. This has assumed special significance recently as Vietnam is actively pursuing WTO membership and is therefore obliged to offer plant variety protection for at least 15 crops in order to satisfy the requirements for UPOV membership. The Seed Ordinance was introduced in 2004 and the new Intellectual Property Law passed by the National Assembly in December 2005 contains a chapter on plant variety protection. The subsidiary decrees and regulations required to implement these new laws are now being prepared.

One key factor in the transition to a free market is to have clear policies to guide that process. This applies in the transition economies like Vietnam, but in fact the same is true in many developing countries where the government previously played a leading role in seed supply and is now withdrawing to a more regulatory function. In these circumstances, it is the task of government to create a policy framework which assigns roles to the various stakeholders in the seed supply system and provides an environment in which they can all work effectively. Recognising this need, Danida has supported the establishment of a National Seed Advisory Council as a forum to represent the key players and advise on the overall development of the seed sector.

The transition to a market economy is still in progress in Vietnam as state-owned enterprises, including the many provincial seed companies, are ‘privatised’. Danida is assisting this process through staff training and a soft-loan scheme for equipment. However the demand for loans has been slow, perhaps reflecting the limited profitability when rice is the main product and real economics apply. This contrasts with the golden days of seed projects, when large and often complex investments were made with little prospect of financial viability.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SC</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Strengthen the regulatory framework for seeds and varieties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Establish a National Seed Advisory Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Improve variety testing and release procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Improve seed quality assurance and accreditation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Strengthen seed-related activities of plant breeding institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Improve seed health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Support to seed production units (training and investment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Improve local seed production through farmer training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Planting rice in February in the North of Vietnam; inside the tropics but a cold north-east wind is blowing! Early planting is needed to grow two crops per year – but field conditions are often unfavourable. In many other crops this would give rise to a seed vigour concern, but when transplanted, the germination % is not so critical for rice – and the nursery beds are covered with plastic for extra protection.
The ‘crop portfolio’ can have a profound effect on seed sector development. In many countries, hybrid maize provided the backbone for commercial companies, around which they could build other products. However, rice is the staple cereal of Vietnam, with two or three crops per year being grown throughout the country. Rice is always a problem crop for the formal seed sector because of the limited profitability of selling open-pollinated varieties to small farmers. This situation calls for local seed production initiatives which incur low overhead and distribution costs and thus keep the price of seed attractive to farmers. For this reason, Danida is supporting a pilot programme of farmer-training in seed production which can be assimilated into the extension activities of the host provinces. Likewise, farmer seed groups/ clubs are also being designed, an approach already developed by Danida in Bangladesh.

There is no doubt that rice production has been a success story in Vietnam, with annual production over 30 million tons ensuring national self-sufficiency and an exportable surplus of around 4 million tons annually, making Vietnam the second largest rice exporter. However, even this strong position raises some interesting challenges for seed supply, particularly in the Mekong River Delta which is the main export producer. For example, the export market is very sensitive to quality and there is a natural concern to compete in the premium markets for fragrant and other speciality rice. This has implications for seed production and the management of the whole supply chain. The identity and quality of varieties must be preserved from sowing, through crop production, post-harvest processing and finally to marketing the grain in order to obtain maximum benefit from a premium product.

Despite the attractions of private sector participation already discussed, there are still social dimensions to seed supply which need to be considered. One example is the provision of emergency seed following natural disasters. In Africa, this is normally a response to drought but in Asia, flooding is the main problem, and in Vietnam that occurs in some parts of the country nearly every year. Thus the government may still have to assume responsibility for seed security – this is not an easy task for the private sector to fulfill.

It is clear that seeds are still an essential element in rural development but they come with a number of complexities. There are some general technical and economic principles for the development of a national seed industry but there are also many factors that are country specific such as the crops, climate, topography, political system and ultimately the overall social context of agriculture. Different policies and criteria may be needed to support the commercial farming sector as compared with subsistence farmers who live in the difficult areas isolated from the market. It remains a challenge for many developing countries to reconcile these different needs of their farmers, and to make the best possible use of their investment in seeds and varieties.

The Mekong Delta is an area of very intensive rice production which provides nearly all the export crop. Large scale mechanization is difficult but many small machines like this are used for land preparation, sowing and harvesting.
Obituary Claude Anselme
1925 - 2005

By Attilio Lovato, ISTA Honorary President
Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy, alovato@agrsci.unibo.it

It is with great sadness that we heard about the passing away of Dr. Claude Anselme, former Director of SNES (the state seed testing station in France) and a former Secretary Treasurer of the ISTA, on August 12, 2005.

After completing the agricultural studies with a PhD in Plant Pathology at the Grignon’s High Agricultural School, Claude Anselme joined INRA (Institut Nationale de Recherche Agronomique), France in 1948 and the SNES (Station Nationale d’Essais de Semences), Paris where, as a plant pathologist he created the Seed Health Testing Laboratory in 1958. In 1969 he became Director of the SNES, La Miniere, Versailles, and a designated member of ISTA. For a long time Claude was also a member of the ISTA Plant Disease Committee of which he was the chairperson from 1974 to 1986. In 1977 in Madrid he was elected member-at-large of the ISTA Executive Committee and from 1980 to 1989 he served as Secretary Treasurer of the ISTA. He retired after 42 years of activity on 1st April 1990.

During his long activity as a seed specialist Claude Anselme always gave the best of himself and his scientific and technical expertise to the progress of seed science and technology. Everybody who has had the chance to work with him has always appreciated the dynamism with which he worked on both the Plant Disease Committee and the Executive Committee, not to mention his invaluable work over nine years as Secretary Treasurer of ISTA. He also cooperated with FAO as a consultant in a number of seed projects for developing countries, mainly in South-East Asia, teaching and training local analysts and technologists with workshops and courses in seed testing and technology.

Whatever decision had to be taken, he always demonstrated his fairness, wisdom, exemplary technical and scientific competence, and his extra-ordinary humanity towards his colleagues and his collaborators. He gave also enthusiastic contribution to the success of evening entertainments: who does not remember Claude while singing the famous scout’s hymn “Alouette”?

After his retirement he continued to take interest in seed problems, but the need of taking care of his own health condition and and that of his wife represented his main commitment, despite that he happily attended the ISTA Congress 2001 in Angers, France. In the sad time of this great loss, ISTA’s deepest sympathies go to his wife, Elisabeth, who often was seen at her husband’s side at ISTA meetings, to his four children with their consorts and the 10 grandchildren of whom Claude was particularly proud.

For friends and colleagues,
Attilio Lovato

---
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With Kees we have lost a colleague who has played an important role in the international field of seed pathology. With him, we also lost a friend who always showed great interest in the people around him and who was, together with his wife Lenny, a good and enjoyable host to many of us.

---
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### Australia

- **AUM12/AUPM12**
  - South Pacific Seeds Pty Ltd
  - P.O. Box 934
  - Griffiths N.S.W 2680
  - Phone: +61 2 9725 1989
  - Fax: +61 2 9725 1066
  - E-mail: seedlab@spseed.com.au
  - Personal Member: Ms. Anne Bolch

- **AUPM**
  - Mr. Esakki Konar Nambi
  - Terranova Seeds
  - P.O.Box 118
  - Wetherill Park
  - 2164 Sydney NSW
  - Phone: +61 2 9275 333
  - Fax: +61 2 9641 311
  - E-mail: nambi.konar@tnseeds.com.au

### Italy

- **ITML07/ITPM07**
  - Laboratorio Analisi Sementi
  - Via Calcinaro 1450
  - 47020 Marottano di Cesena (FC)
  - Phone: +39 0547 643511
  - Fax: +39 0547383417
  - E-mail: commerciale@caceeds.it
  - Personal Member: Dr. Cinzia Assioli

### South Africa

- **ZAML02 / ZAPM02**
  - Sakura-Mayford Seed Testing Laboratory
  - Mayford Seeds (Pty) Ltd
  - Teesdale Farm, Renosterspruit
  - P.O. Box 160
  - 1748 Lanseria
  - Phone: +27 11 548 2800
  - Fax: +27 11 548 2820
  - E-mail: Anal.Labuschagne@Sakata-eu.com
  - Personal members: Anal Labuschagne and Jane K. Sikosana

### Croatia

- **HRM04/HRPM04**
  - Laboratory for Testing Seed Quality
  - Forest Research Institute
  - Department of Tree Improvement & Forest Seed
  - Cytetno naselje 41
  - 10450 Jastrebarsko
  - Phone: +385 1 6273 035
  - Fax: +385 1 6273 000
  - E-mail: scce@ranmet.rz
  - Personal Member: Mrs. Maja Gradecki-Postenjak

### Indonesia

- **IDML01/IDPM01**
  - BMBPHF
  - Development Agencies Seed Quality of Food Crop and Horticulture
  - Jl. Raya Tapos Kotak Pos 20
  - Cimanggis Jawa Barat
  - 16956 Depok
  - Phone: +62 21 8755046
  - Fax: +62 21 8754225
  - E-mail: bmbphf@yahoo.com
  - Personal Member: Ahmad Riyadi Wastra

### New Ista Members - Status - February 28, 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Personal Member</th>
<th>E-mail</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AU – Australia</td>
<td>Mr. A.S.N. Reddy</td>
<td><a href="mailto:a.reddy@nunhems.com.au">a.reddy@nunhems.com.au</a></td>
<td>612 69641 311</td>
<td>+91 40 2332 4242 /23324040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK – Denmark</td>
<td>Dr. Jan Torp</td>
<td><a href="mailto:shc@kvl.dk">shc@kvl.dk</a></td>
<td>35 283701</td>
<td>+385 1 6273 035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA – Canada</td>
<td>Mr. Lori Bowman</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Lori@2020seedlabs.ca">Lori@2020seedlabs.ca</a></td>
<td>920 0968</td>
<td>+63 2 920 0968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ – New Zealand</td>
<td>Mrs. Diane H. Dahlkamp</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dahlkampd@agriquality.com">dahlkampd@agriquality.com</a></td>
<td>63 2 525 7909</td>
<td>+63 2 521 7650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK – Slovakia</td>
<td>Mrs. Mable M. Simwanza</td>
<td>ZMDM01</td>
<td>63 2 525 7909</td>
<td>+63 2 521 7650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZM – Zambia</td>
<td>Mr. Frank Lewis</td>
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TCOM

Mutations - Status - February 28, 2006

CO – Colombia

CODM01
Mr. Hernando Montenegro Torres
Laboratorio Nacional Semillas
en Mosquera
Calle 37 8-43 Edificio de Colas, Piso 4
Oficina 410 Bogotá
Phone: +57 1 2328643
Fax: +57 1 2324697

DE – Germany

DEDM
Dr. Petra Schwarz
Institut für Angewandte Botanik
Abteilung Saatgutprüfung
Ohnhorststrasse 18
22609 Hamburg
Phone: +49 40 42816583
Fax: +49 40428161550
E-mail: petra.schwarz@iangbot.uni-hamburg.de

DEPM
Dr. Lutz Grohmann
Bundesamt für Verbraucherschutz & Lebensmittelsicherheit (BVL), Referat 405
Rückverfolgbarkeit und Nachweisverfahren
Taubenstrasse 42-43
10117 Berlin
Phone: +49 1888 413 3071
Fax: +49 1888 413 3060
E-mail: lutz.grohmann@bvl.bund.de

DK – Denmark

DKPM
Mr. Torben Borggaard
Frederiksborgvej 55 D
4000 Roskilde
Phone: +45 4632 1298
E-mail: torben.borggaard@privat.dk

DKPM
Mrs. Alice Klausen
DLF-Trifolium A/S
Ny Osteregade 9
4000 Roskilde
Phone: +45 46 330300
Fax: +45 46 320830
E-mail: ak@dlf.dk

IT – Italy

ITDL02/ITDM02
Università Pisa
Dipartimento di Agronomia
Lab. Ricerca e Analisi Sementi
Via del Borghetto, 80
56100 Pisa
Phone: +39 050 599111
Fax: +39 050 540633
E-mail: macchia@agr.unipi.it
Personal member: Prof. Mario Macchia

LK – Sri Lanka

LKDM01
Dr. Sarath L. Weerasena
Division of Seed Certification & Plant Protection
Old Galaha Road
P.O. Box 49, Peradeniya
Phone: +94 81 2388333
Fax: +96 3 966 9642
E-mail: norlisk@agri.gov.lk

SE – Sweden

SEDL05/SEDM05
Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences - Dept. of Silviculture Seed Lab
901 83 Umeå
Phone: +46 90 7868354
E-mail: urban.bergsten@sslko.slu.se
Personal member: Dr. Urban Bergsten

SY – Syria

SYDM01
Dr. Abbas Abbas
General Organization for Seed Multiplication, G.O.S.M. Seed Testing Laboratory
Boustan AlBasha Str.
P.O.Box 5857
Aleppo
Phone: +963 21 4469041
Fax: +963 214644901

New TCOM Members

Editorial Board
Dr. Michael Sussman
USDA
801 Summit Crossing Place
28054 Gastonia, North Carolina
US-United States
Phone: +1 704 8673873
Fax: +1 704 8532800
E-mail: michael.sussman@usda.gov

Variety Committee
Dr. Robert John Cooke
NIAB National Institute of Agricultural Botany
Chemistry Department
Huntingdon Road
Cambridge CB3 0LE
GB-United Kingdom
Phone: +44 1223 342331
Fax: +44 1223276702
E-mail: rober.cooke@niab.com

Statistics Committee
Prof. Dr. Lawrence O. Copeland
Michigan State University
Department of Crop and Soil Sciences Bldg
East Lansing, MI 48824-1325
US-United States
Phone: +1 517 353 9545
Fax: +1 517 3535174
E-mail: copeland@msu.edu

Germination/Storage Committee
Dr. Loren Wiesner
National Seed Storage Laboratory
USDA ARS
1111 South Mason Street
Fort Collins, CO 80523-4500
US-United States
Phone: +1 970 4953223
Fax: +1 970 2211427
E-mail: lwiesner@lamar.colostate.edu

TCOM Member Terminations

Editorial Board
Prof. Norberto Lisker
The Volcani Center
Dept. of Seed Research
Institute of Field Crops
P.O.Box 6
Bet-Dagan 50250
IL-Israel
Phone: +972 3 968 3638
Fax: +972 3 966 9642
E-mail: norlisk@agri.gov.il

Editorial Board
Prof. Dr. Mark A. Bennett
The Ohio State University
Department of Horticulture and Crop Science
312A Kottman Hall
2021 Coffey Road
Columbus, Ohio 43210
US-United States
Phone: +1 614 292 3864
Fax: +1 614 2927162
E-mail: bennett.18@osu.edu

Variety Committee
Mr. Ulf Kjellström
Statens Jordbruksverk
Utsädesenheten
Box 83
268 22 Svalöv
SE-Sweden
Phone: +46 418 667420
Fax: +46 418 667408
E-mail: ulf.kjellstrom@su-kontroll.se

Statistics Committee
Prof. Dr. Lawrence O. Copeland
Michigan State University
Department of Crop and Soil Sciences Bldg
East Lansing, MI 48824-1325
US-United States
Phone: +1 517 353 9545
Fax: +1 517 3535174
E-mail: copeland@msu.edu

Variety Committee
Ir. Job Schipper
Naktuinbouw
Sataweg 22
Postbus 40
2370 AA Roelofarendsveen
NL-Netherlands
Phone: +31 71 332 62 52
Fax: +31 71 332 63 69
E-mail: j.schipper@naktuinbouw.nl
ISTA Seed Moisture Committee
Progress Report
Working Group for assessment of water content in recalcitrant and other non-orthodox seed material

By Deon Erdey¹ and Craig McGill², ISTA Moisture Committee Members
¹University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, erdeyd@nu.ac.za
²Massey University, New Zealand, C.R.McGill@massey.ac.nz

OBJECTIVES
The ISTA Seed Moisture Committee seeks to establish rules about drying of recalcitrant seed material which could be no better than guidelines, because of the inherent variability of these and other non-orthodox seeds. Nevertheless, both to establish the possibility of sound guidelines and to emphasise the unpredictability of recalcitrant and other non-orthodox seeds, we have undertaken to monitor water contents of a spectrum of species of recalcitrant/non-orthodox seeds, dehydrated under prescribed conditions in relation to the loss of vigour and viability. To this aim, the present report summaries the results obtained for the period 2004 – 2005.

RESULTS
Initial characteristics
The initial characteristics of the seven species tested are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The seeds of C. africana, E. natalensis and E. gratus are very large and, as a result, whole seed water contents could not be obtained (Table 1). However, as the embryonic axes comprise only a relatively small proportion of the entire seed in these species, whole seed water contents would most likely correspond with that of the storage tissues (as seen for S. spinosa and S. madagascariensis, Table 1). With the exception of S. spinosa, these seeds were characterised by axis water contents considerably higher that of the corresponding storage tissues (Table 1).

The initial characteristics of B. gymnorrhiza propagules are presented separately due to the unusual structure of these large seeds (Table 2). At maturity, seeds of this species consist of a large elongated hypocotyl and small plumule (Figure 1). Consequently, the water contents of the plumule, and middle and distal portions of the hypocotyls were each determined separately. The water contents of the hypocotyl segments were higher than that of the plumule. In addition, the water content of the distal portion of the hypocotyls was higher than that of the middle portion (Table 2).

Table 1. Seed mass and initial whole seed and seed component water contents, expressed as grams water per gram dry mass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Seed mass (g)</th>
<th>Whole seed</th>
<th>Water content (g.g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Storage tissue</td>
<td>Axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordyla africana</td>
<td>± 10*</td>
<td>1.04 (±0.11)</td>
<td>1.72 (±0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encephalartos natalensis</td>
<td>6.98 (±0.41)</td>
<td>0.58 (± 0.05)</td>
<td>1.01 (± 0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encephalartos gratus</td>
<td>6.40 (±0.42)</td>
<td>0.51 (± 0.01)</td>
<td>1.49 (± 0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysoxylum spectabile</td>
<td>2.21 (±0.19)</td>
<td>1.06 (± 0.04)</td>
<td>0.76 (± 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strychnos spinosa</td>
<td>1.29 (±0.14)</td>
<td>0.63 (±0.01)</td>
<td>0.63 (±0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strychnos madagascariensis</td>
<td>1.14 (±0.15)</td>
<td>0.78 (± 0.14)</td>
<td>0.78 (± 0.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2. Bruguiera gymnorrhiza seed mass and initial seed component water contents, expressed as grams water per gram dry mass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Seed mass (g)</th>
<th>Plumule</th>
<th>Water content (g.g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hypocotyl (middle 1 cm³)</td>
<td>Hypocotyl (distal 1 cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruguiera gymnorrhiza</td>
<td>12.58 - 20.88 (±3.29)</td>
<td>1.57 (±0.18)</td>
<td>1.77 (±0.10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Mature Bruguiera gymnorrhiza seed.
Desiccation

The results are represented as percentage germination (root emergence) versus seed component water content (Figure 2).

The degree of desiccation tolerated in these experiments varied among the species tested. While the viability of *C. africana*, *E. natalensis* and *E. gratus* seeds declined considerably following only limited removal of water from the seed tissues, *S. spinosa* seeds retained viability to much lower water contents. While root emergence by *B. gymnorhiza* propagules was enhanced following slight desiccation, the plumules of these seeds were extremely desiccation sensitive, exhibiting severe necrosis in all the drying treatments (results not shown).

In most cases, viability declined at a higher axis water content, than indicated when the water content was determined on a whole seed basis only (in the case of *S. madagascariensis* seeds) or when determined for that of the storage tissues, which comprised the bulk of dry weight of these seeds.

Summary

As found previously for other non-orthodox species:

- Water content values differs greatly between the various seed components
- Determining water content on a whole seed basis only for desiccation sensitive seeds underestimates the desiccation sensitivity of the germinative axis tissues.

Figure 2. Viability curves (n = 25)
5th ISTA Proficiency Test on GMO Testing on *Glycine max* (L.) Merr.

By Bettina Kahlert, ISTA GMO Task Force Proficiency Test Working Group Leader
ISTA Secretariat, Switzerland, bettina.kahlert@ista.ch

1. AIM
The aim of the proficiency test is to check the ability of individual laboratories to detect the presence or absence of GM seeds and to quantify their presence in samples of conventional seed of soybean *Glycine max*.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
Samples were either negative, i.e. did not contain any transgenic events, or positive, i.e. contained the transgenic event GTS 40-3-2 (GTS40) or A2704-12 (A2704). When preparing the positive samples different quantities of GTS40 or A2704 seeds were mixed with non-GM seeds. The genetic purity was tested prior to the sample preparation. The GTS40 seeds in the samples have the 35S promoter, the NOS terminator and the CP4 EPSPS gene. The CP4 EPSPS gene encoding the EPSPS protein (5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase) confers tolerance to the glyphosate herbicide (the active ingredient in Roundup®). This transgenic event was already used in the 4th ISTA Proficiency Test on GMO Testing. The A2704 seeds in the samples have two copies of the 35S promoter and a single copy of the PAT gene. The PAT gene encoding the PAT enzyme (phosphinothricin acetyltransferase) confers tolerance to glufosinate ammonium (also known as phosphinothricin herbicide tolerance).

Each participating laboratory received a set of 12 soybean samples each containing about 3000 seeds. The positive samples were made positive by adding a defined number of seeds from the GTS40 or A2704 seed lot to the negative seeds. For each sample, the non-GM seeds were weighed and the GM seeds were counted and the weight determined. For laboratories performing sub-sampling quantification, also the non-GM seeds were counted.

Three samples were negative and nine samples were positive. Five out of the nine positive samples were the same for all laboratories: two samples contained 0.2% GTS40 seeds and three samples contained 1.0% A2704 seeds. Four samples differed in their GMO content between the laboratories. One-third of the labs received either samples with a GTS40 content of 0.5%, 1.0% or 1.5%. Table 1 and 2 give detailed information about the arrangement of the samples and the spiking levels.

The choice of the method used for testing was at the laboratory's discretion.

3. RESULTS
Sixty-four laboratories received samples. Fifty-eight submitted their results. Three (5%) submitted only qualitative results. Thirteen (20%) performed the quantification using the sub-sampling strategy. Forty-one (64%) reported quantitative results performing a quantitative test. One (2%) submitted results for both tests, sub-sampling qualification and quantitative test and six (9%) did not report data.

3.1 Descriptive Statistics of the Qualitative Results
Each laboratory reported for the individual sample whether this is a negative sample or 1/3 samples for laboratories performing sub-sampling quantification.
2/3 samples for laboratories performing a quantitative test, e.g. RT-PCR.

---

Table 1: Detailed information about disposition of the samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>A-C</th>
<th>D-E</th>
<th>F-I</th>
<th>K-M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of samples</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>events</td>
<td>GTS 40-3-2</td>
<td>A2704-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM spiking level by # of seeds</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.5% or 1.0% or 1.5%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Detailed information about the spiking levels of the test samples: spiking levels by number and by mass of seeds, the number and average weight of GM and non-GM seeds per sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GM spiking level by # of seed</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0.2%</th>
<th>0.5%</th>
<th>1%</th>
<th>1.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average GM spiking level by mass of seed of GTS 40-3-2</td>
<td>0.20% ± 0.01%</td>
<td>0.50% ± 0.02%</td>
<td>0.98% ± 0.03%</td>
<td>1.47% ± 0.04%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average GM spiking level by mass of seed of A2704-12</td>
<td>1.01% ± 0.04%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of non GM seeds</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>2994</td>
<td>2985</td>
<td>2970</td>
<td>2955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of GM seeds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of non-GM seeds</td>
<td>~412 g</td>
<td>~411 g</td>
<td>~410 g</td>
<td>~407 g</td>
<td>~406 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of GM seeds (GTS 40-3-2)</td>
<td>0 g</td>
<td>~0.81 g</td>
<td>~2.06 g</td>
<td>~4.13 g</td>
<td>~6.06 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1/3 samples for laboratories performing sub-sampling quantification.
2/3 samples for laboratories performing a quantitative test, e.g. RT-PCR.
a positive sample. Hence, for a given sample, the result reported by the laboratory can be either correct or false (Figure 1 and Table 3).

Out of the 58 laboratories:

- Thirty-two laboratories classified all 12 tested samples correctly. These are 55.2% of the laboratories.
- 89.1% of the 696 samples were reported correctly by the 58 laboratories.
- In total, 26 laboratories reported results falsely, one laboratory reported both, false positives and false negatives and 25 laboratories only false negatives. No laboratory reported only false positives.
- One laboratory reported false positives (one out of the three negative samples (1/3)) with a total number of one out of 174 negative samples tested. These are 1.7% of the laboratories and 0.6% of the negative samples.
- Twenty-six laboratories reported false negatives (between 1/9 and 3/9) with a total number of 75 out of 522 positive samples tested. These are 44.8% of the laboratories and 14.4% of the positive samples. All 26 laboratories reported false negatives for the A2704 samples with a spiking level of 1.0%. Between 1/3 and 3/3 samples were classified falsely as negative with a total number of 75 samples out of 174. These are 44.8% of the laboratories and 43.1% of the A2704 samples.
- Zero laboratories reported false negatives for the GTS40 samples with a spiking level of 0.2%, 0.5%, 1.0% or 1.5%.

### 3.2 The Quantitative Results

Fourteen laboratories used the sub-sampling strategy for a quantification. All laboratories reported the number of sub-samples, the size of these sub-samples and the number of positive sub-samples tested. Most laboratories used a testing plan with a high number of sub-samples. All laboratories used all 3000 seeds to create the sub-samples. Figure 2 shows the estimate of the percentage of GM seeds in a sample reported by the laboratories.

Forty-one laboratories performed the quantitative test, e.g. using RT-PCR, and reported for the individual test sample the estimated value of the GM content as the percentage seed in number of seeds or mass of seeds or other units, e.g. percentage of DNA. (Figure 3).
Figure 3: The results of the quantification: Estimates of the percentage of GM seeds reported by the laboratory (circles) for each sample of the different spiking levels: 0.2% GTS seeds (a), 0.5% GTS40 seeds (b), 1.0% GTS seeds (c), 1.5% GTS40 seeds (d), 1.0% A2704 seeds (e) and the mean of these estimates for each laboratory (short line). The mean is only shown in case that at least three estimates were reported as values.

Table 4: Quantitative test - The (overall) mean of the quantitative test results for each spiking level, the standard deviation, the variation coefficient and the relative error among the samples within each spiking level. The standard deviation, the variation coefficient and the relative error in this table are related to the single results per sample and not to the laboratories’ means.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spiking level and transgenic event</th>
<th>Replicates</th>
<th>Mean ± SD (%)</th>
<th>Variation coefficient (%)</th>
<th>relative error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2% GTS40</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.12</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% GTS40</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.16</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0% GTS40</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.34</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5% GTS40</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.67</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0% A2704</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.85</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 shows the overall performance of the laboratory regarding the different sample groups, i.e. spiking level and event. The (overall) mean of the quantitative and sub-sampling test results for each spiking level, the standard deviation, the variation coefficient and the relative error among the samples within each spiking level. The variation coefficient (% variation coefficient = standard deviation/mean*100) shows the inter-sample variability. The lowest variation coefficient was calculated for the 0.5% and 1.0% spiking level of GTS40 samples with 33.2% and 34.7%. The highest were calculated for the 0.2% GTS40 and the 1.0% A2704 samples with 51.4% and 52.1%, respectively. These variation coefficients are similar to the ones of previous test rounds. The relative error (% relative error = [reported value – true value]/true value*100) shows the closeness of agreement between the reported value (test result) and the true value. The samples with the lowest relative error are the 0.5% GTS40 samples (10.9%) and with the highest relative error are the 1.0% A2704 samples (82.0%).

Call for Registration

7th and 8th ISTA Proficiency Test on GMO Testing

Since GMO testing has been included in the ISTA Accreditation Programme, the participation in the ISTA Proficiency Tests on GMO Testing is compulsory for those laboratories which have GMO testing methods in their scope of accreditation. The ISTA GMO Proficiency Test on GMO Testing is also open to all laboratories involved in GM seed testing. Your laboratory can select the method appropriate to detect the presence or absence of GM seeds, to quantify and to identify their presence in samples of conventional seeds.

7th ISTA Proficiency Test on GMO Testing on *Zea mays* L.
Your laboratory will receive 12 maize test samples each of 2000 seeds, either containing GM seeds or not.
Registration deadline: May 31, 2006

8th ISTA Proficiency Test on GMO Testing on *Glycine max* (L.) Merr.
Your laboratory will receive soybean test samples, either containing GM seeds or not.
Registration deadline: November 30, 2006

Registration forms and further details on proficiency tests can be found on the ISTA Website at www.seedtest.org

Laboratories interested in participating should please contact the ISTA Secretariat: Email: ista.office@ista.ch Fax +41-44-838 6001

New as of June 2006!

SST Online Subscription

As of June 2006, the journal *Seed Science and Technology* is also available as an Online Subscription.

Online Subscription: CHF 353.00 (approx. US$ 265.00/EUR 230.00)
Online & Print Subscription: CHF 447.00 (approx. US$ 335.00/EUR 291.00)

CHF = Swiss Francs

Single Paper Download (Pay-per-View)

As of June 2006 all papers may be downloaded individually for a fee of US$ 35.- per paper. Back issues from volume 31 - 33 are also available for download as well as all future issues of *Seed Science and Technology*.

Visit www.seedtest.org for further details
The ISTA Proficiency Test (PT) rating system was implemented in 2002 and since then nine test rounds have been completed. Having now data for a number of germination, other seed determination and moisture content PT rounds, it is possible to provide some answers to the following questions regarding the performance of participants:

How have the laboratories performed?

and

Is there a difference between the performance of accredited laboratories and non-accredited laboratories?

Proficiency Test on Germination – Overall Performance

Overall ratings for 95 laboratories are available. This is approximately 80% of the average number of participants in germination PTs. Seventy-seven of the 95 laboratories are ISTA accredited and the other 18 laboratories participated as volunteers. As shown in figure 1, more than 50% of the accredited laboratories scored an overall A rating, which indicates excellent overall performance over six test rounds.

Compared to the overall performance of the accredited laboratories, one can see that the percentage of A laboratories is lower for the volunteers; however, there are still more than one third of all non-accredited laboratories scoring an overall A. The greatest difference in performance ratings is in the C range. One third of the volunteers scored an overall C whereas only 3% of the accredited laboratories were rated an overall C. It is encouraging to see that there is no laboratory, be it accredited or volunteer that scored an overall BMP. An overall BMP would have indicated serious problems in the laboratory’s testing procedures.

Proficiency Test on Germination – In-round Performance

The following charts present the in-round PT performance in germination over the past ten test rounds for accredited laboratories (Figure 2) and non-accredited laboratories (Figure 3). Most accredited laboratories performed well in germination as an average of more than 75% of the accredited laboratories scored an in-round A and only an average of four percent of the laboratories scored an in-round BMP. The distribution of scores is quite homogenous in contrast to the scores of the volunteers. In some test rounds, the number of non-accredited laboratories scoring an A is quite high but in some test rounds a considerable number of non-accredited laboratories scored an in-round BMP, e.g. in test round 04-3, *Phleum pratense*, more than 30% of the volunteers scored an in-round BMP.

Proficiency Tests on Other Seed Determination – In-round Performance

The in-round rating system for other seed determination follows the system presented at the Ordinary Meeting in Bangkok, Thailand in 2005 and is described in the last issue of *Seed Testing International*. This system has been approved by the Proficiency Test Committee and is being submitted to the Executive Committee for adoption. Only five of the proficiency test rounds since 2002 have included other seed determination and the ratings and final test reports for these will be sent to participants as soon as the in-round rating system is approved by the Executive Committee.
Compared to in-round performance in germination testing, the difference between accredited and non-accredited laboratories is even more pronounced in the determination of other seed (see Figures 4 and 5). An average of 85% of the accredited laboratories scored an in-round A compared to an average of only 50% of the non-accredited laboratories. The amount of laboratories scoring an in-round BMP is considerably higher among the non-accredited laboratories, with an average of more than 20%. An average of only 2% of the accredited laboratories scored an in-round BMP in other seed determination.

### Proficiency Test on Moisture Content Testing – In-round Performance

Each year moisture content tests are included in one of the PT rounds so the results of only three test rounds are available for analysis. The in-round rating system follows the same system as that used for germination tests and participants have received final test reports. Those scoring C or BMP have been given advice from the test leader for remedial action and offered further samples for testing.

The following charts present the in-round PT performance in moisture content testing over the past three years for accredited laboratories (Figure 6) and non-accredited laboratories (Figure 7). Most accredited laboratories performed well in moisture content tests with an average of 80% of the accredited laboratories scoring an in-round A and only an average of 5% of the laboratories scoring an in-round BMP. This is similar to the performance of accredited laboratories in germination PTs.

The performance of non-accredited laboratories was similar to that obtained in germination PTs with an average of 61% of non-accredited laboratories scoring an in-round A and an average of 21% scoring an in-round BMP. There is also an indication that the performance of non-accredited laboratories was poorer in test rounds 04-1 and 05-2 where seeds had to be ground as part of the moisture content methodology.

### General

The results are not surprising, as one would expect the accredited laboratories to perform better on average than non-accredited laboratories. Accredited laboratories have given evidence of their technical performance through passing the extensive audit procedure and are required to maintain a quality management system that allows for evaluation and the continuous improvement of test performance. They are required to routinely check the proper functioning of equipment, offer adequate training to personnel and verify implementation and effectiveness of their systems through internal audits, quality control procedures and management reviews.

The results of germination, other seed determination and moisture content PTs are encouraging and reassuring. They indicate that test results on ISTA Certificates are reliable and accurate. The accreditation and PT procedures are effective in terms of assessing the performance of laboratories and ensuring the continued accuracy and reliability of results reported on ISTA Certificates. The PT programme encourages the maintenance of high levels of performance in accredited laboratories. Nonetheless, it is also good to see that a substantial number of non-accredited laboratories demonstrate high levels of competence in these PTs which is an important prerequisite should they intend to become accredited at some stage. Laboratories not performing so well in particular tests are encouraged to make use of the results in order to identify weak areas in their performance and then improve it. They are also offered support from PT leaders and additional samples as part of the continuous improvement process.
Accreditation of Laboratories using Methods approved under the Performance Based Approach

By Gerhard Schuon, ISTA Accreditation Department
ISTA Secretariat, Switzerland, gerhard.schuon@ista.ch

Detection, identification and quantification of seeds with specified traits has for some time been under discussion within the laboratory community. The current edition of the ISTA Rules with the new Chapter 8 provides the framework for an area in seed testing that is internationally unquestionably a hot topic. At the same time the ISTA Rules exhibit a departure from the traditional approach of having standard methods applicable in all situations and worldwide under the uniformity motto.

It is exactly this novelty that required some adjustments in terms and requirements of the accreditation process. With the formal conditions defined in the ISTA Rules 2006, the ISTA Seed Testing Laboratory Standard 4.0 and the directives ACC-D-04 (Principles and Conditions for Laboratory Accreditation under the Performance Based Approach) and ACC-D-05 (Performance Data Evaluation for the presence of seed with specified trait(s) in seed lots), as of beginning of February 2006 ISTA laboratory accreditation may include testing seed for specified traits.

Three pillars
The new features in Chapter 8 revolve around the Performance Based Approach (PBA), emphasising performance aspects of a given method used by a specific laboratory. As in the past, laboratories have to participate in the related proficiency test rounds and undergo regular on-site assessments to attain and maintain the status of an accredited laboratory. These two pillars of the accreditation programme for test protocols covered by standard methods published in the ISTA Rules have been taken over for assessing laboratories testing according to the PBA. As a third pillar, unique to methods that are not standardised and published in the ISTA Rules, a system for evaluating a laboratory’s competence has been established.

Performance data evaluation
It is considered to be common practice to introduce new methods into routine testing after making sure that each method is fit for the purpose and competently applied by staff. While technical validation procedures may be followed to a varying degree in different laboratories and depending on the method used, it is assumed that a series of test runs under defined conditions is always part of this process.

For accreditation under the PBA, ISTA defines minimum requirements as to the extent of performing test runs, including criteria for evaluating accuracy and repeatability for a given analysis.

Accreditation always refers to the ability to solve a specified analytical problem, in seed analysis commonly defined by a species, a technical protocol (i.e. the method) and a trait. The trait is the qualitative attribute of a quantity of seed that a customer may want to have confirmed, possibly also quantified. Each separate element in a laboratory’s scope of accreditation under the PBA needs thus to be looked into during the accreditation process and a meaningful set of performance data must be presented to the auditors for each combination of species*method*trait intended to be included in the laboratory’s scope of ISTA accreditation.

Practical experience
With the formal requirements being publicly debated and published for some time now, feedback from a variety of stakeholders has been received. A number of laboratories with appropriate proficiency test performance have initiated the process of accreditation for testing under the PBA. For some laboratories the first phase of document evaluation has already reached a final stage and on-site assessments have taken place at several occasions. The ISTA Executive Committee’s decision on the first laboratory accreditation including testing for specified traits is expected for the next future.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IT – Italy</td>
<td>ITML06</td>
<td>Centro Nazionale per lo studio e la Conservazione della Biodiversita Forestale</td>
<td>Via del Ponte 256, 37020 Peri, Verona</td>
<td>+39 45 628 4071</td>
<td>+39 45 628 4089</td>
<td><a href="mailto:f.gorian@corpoforestale.it">f.gorian@corpoforestale.it</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP – Japan</td>
<td>JPML06</td>
<td>Takii &amp; Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>180 Umekoji, Inokuma</td>
<td>+81 75 365 0123</td>
<td>+81 75 3650110</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mkomaba@takii.co.jp">mkomaba@takii.co.jp</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG – Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td>KGML01</td>
<td>Republican Seed Inspection</td>
<td>4-A, Toktonaliev Street</td>
<td>+996 312 542225</td>
<td>+996 312540423</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kglm01@mail.ru">kglm01@mail.ru</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI – Slovenia</td>
<td>SIML04</td>
<td>Semenarna Ljubljana d.d.</td>
<td>Dolenjska C. 242, 1000 Ljubljana</td>
<td>+386 1 4759 246</td>
<td>+386 1 4273538</td>
<td><a href="mailto:darja.vouk@semenarna.si">darja.vouk@semenarna.si</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU – Australia</td>
<td>AUDL01</td>
<td>SA Seed Laboratory</td>
<td>Plant Research Centre, Waite Campus, Urrbrae</td>
<td>+61 8 83039549</td>
<td>+61 8 83039508</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lawrie.heather@sa.gov.au">lawrie.heather@sa.gov.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDL02</td>
<td>Queensland Seed Technology Laboratory</td>
<td>The University of Queensland</td>
<td>Gatton Campus, 4345, Queensland</td>
<td>+61 75460 1487</td>
<td>+61 75460 1486</td>
<td><a href="mailto:seedlab@mailbox.uq.edu.au">seedlab@mailbox.uq.edu.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDL06</td>
<td>Tasmanian Seed Laboratory</td>
<td>Department of Primary Industry Water &amp; Environment</td>
<td>165 Westbury Rd, Prospect 7250</td>
<td>+61 3 63365248</td>
<td>+61 3 63444961</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mary.dearing@dpiwe.tas.gov.au">mary.dearing@dpiwe.tas.gov.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDL07</td>
<td>AGWEST Plant Laboratories</td>
<td>Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>Locked Bag 4</td>
<td>+61 8 9368 3844</td>
<td>+61 8 94742655</td>
<td><a href="mailto:agwestplantlabs@agr.wa.gov.au">agwestplantlabs@agr.wa.gov.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDL10</td>
<td>AgriQuality</td>
<td>Seed Laboratory</td>
<td>3 - 5 Lillée Cres</td>
<td>+61 3 8318 9000</td>
<td>+61 3 83189002</td>
<td><a href="mailto:MillsR@agriquality.com">MillsR@agriquality.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE - Germany</td>
<td>DEDL16</td>
<td>Landwirtschaftlicher Untersuchungs- und Forschungsanstalt (LUFA) der LMS Landwirtschaftsberatung Mecklenburg - Vorpommern</td>
<td>Fachgebiet Saatgut</td>
<td>+49 381 2030760</td>
<td>+49 381 2030790</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Lufa-rostock@lms-beratung.de">Lufa-rostock@lms-beratung.de</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR – Greece</td>
<td>GRDL01</td>
<td>Seed Testing Station of Athens</td>
<td>Ministry of Rural Development and Food</td>
<td>+30 210 683 0471</td>
<td>+30 210 6830917</td>
<td><a href="mailto:an2u001@minagric.gr">an2u001@minagric.gr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR - Croatia</td>
<td>HRDL03</td>
<td>Seed Testing Laboratory</td>
<td>The State Institute for Seed and Seedlings (SISS)</td>
<td>+385 31 275 705</td>
<td>+385 31 275 701</td>
<td><a href="mailto:s.spoljaric@zsr.hr">s.spoljaric@zsr.hr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN - India</td>
<td>INML07</td>
<td>Indo-American Hybrid Seeds (India) Pvt. Ltd</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry &amp; Fisheries</td>
<td>+91 80 676 0111</td>
<td>+91 80 8602912</td>
<td><a href="mailto:iahs@indamseeds.com">iahs@indamseeds.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP – Japan</td>
<td>JPD03</td>
<td>National Livestock Breeding Center</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry &amp; Fisheries</td>
<td>+81 267 672501</td>
<td>+81 267 684743</td>
<td><a href="mailto:a0chabat@nlbc.go.jp">a0chabat@nlbc.go.jp</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ – New Zealand</td>
<td>NZDL01</td>
<td>AgriQuality Limited</td>
<td>National Seed Laboratory</td>
<td>+64 635 17940</td>
<td>+64 635 17907</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dahlkampd@agriquality.com">dahlkampd@agriquality.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experience Diversity in Brazil

By Alison A Powell, ISTA Seed Symposium Convenor 2007
School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland, a.a.powell@abdn.ac.uk

Diversity is the theme of the 28th ISTA Seed Symposium as indicated by the title, Diversity in Seed Technology, and programme content (page 31). The choice of theme was prompted by the country of venue for the 2007 ISTA Congress, Brazil, which is the fifth largest country in the world with a land mass of 8.5 million km² stretching from 5° north of the equator to 33° south. Size and location has conferred on Brazil the gift and responsibility of the greatest biodiversity in flora and fauna on earth, providing us with a clear and unifying idea for the Seed Symposium.

The tropical rainforest of the Amazon basin is the most celebrated ecosystem in the world, and rightly so. Half of the known animals and plants, including an estimated 80,000 species of trees and flowering plants, are to be found there. Four other ecosystems are also to be found within Brazil’s borders: the Atlantic rain forest, inland from the east coast; the semi-arid caatinga of the North East; the savanna grasslands of the central high plains; and the wetlands of the Pantanal in the centre of not just Brazil, but of South America. Each of these areas has its own plant diversity.

Economically important crops have already been derived from the diverse flora, rubber and cocoa from the tropics and cassava from the savanna, but much more potential still exists. More than 1000 of the plants of the Amazon forest have a recognised medicinal value and provide opportunities for development. The conservation of the species that represent the biodiversity, some of which have an as yet unrealised potential, can be achieved in situ. However, the safeguard of preservation in seed banks is a priority which will be considered in the Seed Symposium Session on Seed Storage and Genetic Conservation, led by the keynote speaker Dr. Hugh Pritchard.

The seed technology problems associated with developing the potential of undomesticated species is covered in the session on the Domestication and Use of Non-Crop Species, chaired by Dr. Mirian Eira, who will present an overview of the rich plant diversity. A particular problem in domestication which still exists, sometimes usefully, in some crop species, is dormancy. This is included in Seed Development, Dormancy and Germination, chaired by Dr. Roberto Benech Arnold, who will review our current understanding of the science behind the control of dormancy.

Disease and insect problems always occur when plants are grown in a simplified crop ecosystem, away from their original diverse habitat. The effects of diseases and pests can be ameliorated by seed treatments. In the past chemical seed treatments have predominated, while more recently treatments involving organisms that are antagonistic to contaminating organisms have been investigated. Dr. Gary Harman, our chairman and keynote speaker in the session Diversity in Contaminating Organisms, will illustrate that some biological seed treatments can also be used to enhance productivity.

Two further sessions are concerned with improving efficiency in crop production. First, Dr. Michael Kruse, in the session on Diversity Within and Among Seed Lots and Species, will set the scene for the discussion of methods aimed at coping with sampling and quality testing in the presence of diversity, including the assessment of GMO presence. Second, Dr. Kent Bradford, in the chair for the Vigour and Invigoration session, will highlight the science behind methods of evaluation and improvement of seed quality for the crop production systems of today.

A symposium with a positive and forward looking view of crop production and the role of seeds has a no more appropriate setting than Brazil. The diversity of climatic regions has enabled an expansion of crops that have their origin in both the Old and New World. The area and production of Phaseolus beans in Brazil leads the world by a substantial margin. Brazil is second only to the USA in the production of soyabean maize. Rice production is the greatest outside Asia and cotton production features in the top ten, second only to the USA outside Asia. Add on the rest of South and Central America and the array of field crops, vegetables, fruits, pasture grasses and ornamentals reaches a scale and diversity that is immense and of worldwide significance.

The level of interest in seed technology matches the scale of crop production. All the participants in the Seed Symposium in May 2007 are sure to be impressed when they see and experience for themselves the energy and commitment to seed technology in Brazil.
Theme: Diversity in Seed Technology

Programme

SESSION 1 - DIVERSITY WITHIN AND AMONG SEED LOTS AND SPECIES
GM testing; varietal identification; identification of germplasm for breeding; seed lot heterogeneity and sampling; purity; automated and computer-based methods for seed identification and assessment.
Chair and lead speaker: Michael Kruse
Institute of Plant Breeding, Seed Science and Population Genetics, University of Hohenheim, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany

SESSION 2 - PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DOMESTICATION AND USE OF NON-CROP SPECIES
Seed production and processing; germination; dormancy; contamination with other organisms; seed-borne pathogens. (This session includes flower, ornamental, tree, shrub and medicinal species).
Chair and lead speaker: Mirian Eira
Embrapa, Brasilia, Distrito Federal, Brazil

SESSION 3 - DIVERSITY IN CONTAMINATING ORGANISMS
Detection and effects of seed borne pathogens, weeds, other species and parasitic plants; seed treatments: conventional and organic methods; effects on seed performance.
Chair and lead speaker: Gary Harman
Cornell University, Geneva, New York 14456, United States

SESSION 4 - SEED DEVELOPMENT, DORMANCY AND GERMINATION: PHYSIOLOGY AND METHODS (ISSS collaborative session)
Seed development and maturation; influence of seed production factors; viability; germination; dormancy; dormancy breaking; acquisition of desiccation tolerance.
Chair and lead speaker: Roberto L. Benech-Arnold

SESSION 5 - VIGOUR AND INVIGORATION
Causes of vigour differences (seed production, processing, physiological); vigour testing; impact of vigour on emergence and storage; priming and other invigoration treatments.
Chair and lead speaker: Kent J. Bradford
Seed Biotechnology Center, One Shields Ave., University of California, Davis, CA 95616-8087, United States

SESSION 6 - SEED STORAGE AND GENETIC CONSERVATION
Desiccation sensitivity and alternative storage methods for recalcitrant seeds; orthodox seed storage: processing, drying and optimum conditions for long term storage; predicting storage potential; seeds for genetic conservation; physiological basis of seed deterioration.
Chair and lead speaker: Hugh W. Pritchard
Seed Conservation Department, Royal Botanic Gardens Kew, Wakehurst Place, United Kingdom

The timetable for submission and acceptance of papers is as follows:

- October 2005: First call for papers
- 15 June 2006: Deadline for submission of proposed papers. Papers reviewed by the Scientific Programme Advisory Committee
- 15 August 2006: Authors of papers considered for oral presentation contacted for further information on experimental results, additional to the abstract
- 1 October 2006: Authors informed whether papers have been accepted for oral or poster presentation
- 1 February 2007: Deadline for payment of registration fee for authors of accepted oral and poster papers

If the author of an oral paper has not registered, the paper will be replaced in the programme. Poster abstracts will only be published in the abstract booklet for authors who have registered by this date.

Submit your Papers online at www.seedtest.org/seed-symposium
Introduction
The electrical conductivity (EC) test for garden peas (*Pisum sativum*) is one of only two vigour tests included in the ISTA Rules for Seed Testing (ISTA, 2006). Bulk samples of 50 seeds are soaked in deionised water and the EC of the soak water is measured after 24 hours. The test is both quantitative and repeatable. In many reports on peas, the EC readings for lots have been found to relate significantly to field emergence (Matthews and Powell, 1981). High levels of leakage are a characteristic of low vigour lots with acceptably high levels of laboratory germination (e.g. above 80%) but low field emergence, particularly in cold, wet soils.

The earliest example of EC as a vigour test was for castor bean (Thomas, 1960) when performance in a soil test was related to the EC of seed soak water. This observation gave the lead to later work on garden or vining peas, when the frozen food industry in the UK came up against a problem. The programme to spread the harvesting of peas ready for freezing included early season sowings, which exposed weak, so-called low vigour seed lots, that were satisfactory in laboratory germination tests, but failed in the field. The relationship between field emergence and EC turned out to be not only interesting, but useful in practical seed technology (Matthews and Bradnock, 1967). An understanding as to the physiological basis of this relationship came later as we describe in this brief review. We have focussed on the two main explanations for high leakage from low vigour seeds for which there is substantial evidence, namely seed ageing and imbition damage.

Seed ageing
When seed scientists discuss the causes of the leakage from low vigour seeds, they almost always start with a reference to the deterioration of membranes, resulting from either artificial or natural ageing. Biochemical analyses and hypotheses about seed deterioration suggest impaired membrane activity is a factor leading to leakage (McDonald, 1999). This can have a role in the early stages of seed ageing (Powell and Matthews, 1977). However, the greatest increases in leakage seen in commercially available seed lots are often associated with an increase in dead tissue on the cotyledons which does not result in the failure to germinate in the laboratory (Matthews and Rogerson, 1976). Similar seeds can be produced by artificial ageing (Powell and Matthews, 1977). In terms of the seed survival curve (Figure 1) these seeds would be placed on the slow initial decline in germination.
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Analyses of seed soak water have shown that potassium is a major constituent of the electrolytes leaked out in peas (Matthews and Rogerson, 1976) and in soybeans (Dias et al., 1996). The conductivity of pea seed soak water was found to be closely correlated with both the sugar and amino acid content, indicating general solute loss from seeds (Matthews and Rogerson, 1976). As ageing progresses from the top row of embryos in Figure 2, the areas of the cotyledons that remain unstained increase, even though the staining of the embryonic axes indicates that the seeds will germinate. It is these dead areas that contribute much of the electrolyte leakage into seed soak water (Matthews and Rogerson, 1976). Legumes with large, normally living, cotyledons are good candidates for the EC vigour test to indicate field emergence, because they still germinate in the laboratory even with considerable areas of dead tissue on their cotyledons, provided that critical areas of the embryo remain living (ISTA, 2003).
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thews and Carver, 1971). This is also a feature of leachates from other species (Schroth and Cook, 1964; Duke and Kakefuda, 1981). The levels of leakage were not associated with the extractable solute content of the embryos (Matthews and Rogerson, 1976).

A further reason why the conductivity test works as a vigour test can be illustrated by looking again at the seed survival curve, and particularly at the slow decline in germination. The further to the right that a seed lot is placed on the initial decline, the lower the germination. A germination of 80% is often taken as an acceptable minimum for the use of a seed lot, based on the laboratory germination of a sample of 400 seeds. If a sample of 50 seeds from the same seed lot is soaked in water, then on average 10 of the 50 seeds would be non-germinable. Work on many species has shown that high levels of leakage are characteristic of seeds incapable of germinating (Steele et al.). Thus, as we go down the slow initial decline, the conductivity will increase as the laboratory germination falls, in addition to the reduced ability of germinable seeds to retain cell contents.

**Imbibition damage**

Imbibition damage is a phenomenon that has been clearly shown to influence vigour in a range of temperate and tropical grain legumes (Powell et al., 1984), although it may also occur in small-seeded dicotyledonous crops (Thornton and Powell, 1992; Draper and Keefe, 1990). Imbibition damage results from the rapid entry of water into the cotyledons during imbibition, leading to cell death and high solute leakage from the seeds (Powell and Matthews, 1978a). When seeds are soaked in water, the almost instantaneous occurrence of damage within 2 minutes of the beginning of imbibition suggests that it results from physical damage, possibly through the disruption and disorganization of cell membranes. Certainly, the extensive loss of cellular material and enzymes from the seeds (Powell and Matthews 1981a; Duke and Kakefuda, 1981) indicates extensive membrane disruption.

Imbibition damage is prevented by slowing down the rate of water uptake and is greater at low temperatures in peas (Powell and Matthews, 1978a), soyabean (Leopold and Musgrave, 1979) and lima bean (Pollock, 1969), possibly because the membrane components are held more rigidly and are therefore more sensitive to physical damage from the considerable forces generated by the imbibition process. These forces result from the difference in water potential between pure water (0 mega Pascals [MPa]) and that of seed tissues. The water potential of seeds is generated by the slightly hydrated starch and protein molecules and can be lower than -3.0 MPa. This is extremely low, with the result that an air dry seed can initially pull in water against a pressure equivalent to 30 atmospheres and more (Hadas and Russo, 1974).

As the seed hydrates, its water potential increases to reduce the differential between the seed and the outside. Conversely, the drier the seed tissues, the lower the water potential and the greater the differential between the seed and the outside substrate, be it soil water, laboratory germination media or soil. The relevance of imbibition damage to field emergence has been clearly shown for peas, when seeds imbibed in wet field soil were removed and stained with TZ (Powell and Matthews, 1980).

The observation of imbibition damage is most apparent when seed coats have been removed from dry seeds before imbibition. This has highlighted the role of the testa in protecting the cotyledons from the damaging effect of water uptake (Powell and Matthews, 1978a; Tully et al., 1981). In addition, these observations on imbibition damage have brought into question the evidence used by Simon and Raja Harun (1972) to support their hypothesis of changes in membrane conformation during early imbibition of dry embryos. They proposed that the high leakage of solutes in the first few minutes of imbibition that they observed from pea embryos (seed minus testa) resulted from the membranes of the dry seed being in a porous hexagonal state. The subsequent decline in leakage as the embryos imbibed was explained by the formation of the normal bilamellar membrane following hydration, which restricted leakage. However, Powell and Matthews (1978a) suggested that the initial high leakage during imbibition resulted from the death of the outer cells of the cotyledons due to imbibition damage and that the decline in leakage represented a slower loss of solutes from undamaged tissue within the seed. This was in part suggested on the basis of the TZ staining of cotyledons after imbibition damage, where only the outer layers of cotyledon cells failed to stain (Powell and Matthews, 1978a). This was later confirmed in soyabean by Tully et al. (1981). Indeed subsequently, we went on to demonstrate that the pattern of leakage observed by Simon and Raja Harun (1972) occurs from completely dead embryos and from any spherical structure containing ions (Powell and Matthews, 1981a).

In some species, such as peas and soyabean, the presence of an intact testa limits the incidence of imbibition damage (Powell and Matthews, 1979; Oliveira et al., 1984). In these species, the incidence of damage to the testa influences the extent of imbibition damage and the vigour of the seeds. Thus seed lots showing extensive testa damage imbibe rapidly and exhibit a high incidence of imbibition damage (Powell and Matthews, 1979, 1981b). These lots emerge poorly in the field (Powell and Matthews, 1980; Oliveira et al., 1984) and therefore have low vigour. In contrast, seed lots with little testa damage imbibe slowly, show little imbibition damage and have high emergence. This emphasizes the importance of the integrity of the testa in determining the vigour of some grain legume species and hence the need to minimize damage to the testa during harvest and processing.

In other grain legume species however, there is also a genotypic component to the susceptibility of seeds to imbibition damage. Thus cultivars of Phaseolus vulgaris (Powell et al., 1986a, 1986b), soyabean (Tully et al., 1981), chickpea (Cicer cicer; Legesse, 1991), long bean (Vigna sesquipedalis; Abdullah et al., 1991) and cowpea (Vigna unguiculata; Legesse and Powell, 1992), in which the testa is partially or completely unpigmented, imbibe more rapidly and show greater levels of imbibition damage compared with cultivars having pigmented testae. As a result, the vigour of unpigmented cultivars is reduced, leading to poorer field emergence than is found in pigmented cultivars (Powell et al., 1984). The close association between pigmentation and slower rates of imbibition has been demonstrated in studies on seed development (Legesse and Powell, 1996) and on isogenic lines of peas, differing only in the A gene for testa pigmentation (Powell, 1989). In some species, for example Phaseolus vulgaris (Powell et al., 1986b) and chickpea (Legesse and Powell, 1996), the close adherence of the pigmented testa to the cotyledons appears to limit the rate of water movement within the seed. In cowpea, however, testa permeability
also has a role (Legesse and Powell, 1996). Identification of the factor associated with pigmentation that leads to reduced rates of water uptake, could lead to the introduction of this characteristic to unpigmented cultivars through breeding programmes, thereby increasing the seed vigour of these cultivars.

Interaction of imbibition damage and ageing

The two major causes of reduced vigour in grain legumes, ageing and imbibition damage also interact, with aged seeds being more susceptible to imbibition damage seen in reduced TZ staining and increased leakage into soak water. When the testae of seeds of peas (Powell, 1985) and cowpeas (Asiedu and Powell, 1998) were scarified before imbibition, leading to more rapid water uptake, the extent of living tissue declined much more markedly in aged than in unaged seeds. The increased susceptibility of aged seeds to imbibition damage could be the result of the weakening of cell membranes by physiological deterioration, with the result that the membranes are more sensitive to physical damage during imbibition (Powell, 1985; Asiedu and Powell, 1998).

Leachates and emergence in soil

The nutrient status of seed leachates has been suggested as a stimulatory factor for fungal close to the germinating seed in, for example, Phaseolus beans (Schroth and Cook, 1964) and peas (Perry, 1973). There is also experimental evidence (Matthews, 1971) to suggest an additional explanation, that dead areas on cotyledons, which result from ageing and/or imbibition damage, act as a focal point and a food base for infection by the weakly parasitic soil-borne fungus Pythium ultimum

Extending the use of the conductivity test

Conductivity tests have also been applied to detect vigour differences in many other grain legumes and indeed some other species (ISTA, 1995). The methods remain to be developed and standardised for these species which include many grain legumes such as soyabeans (Oliveira et al., 1984; Yaklich et al., 1979), longbean (Abdullah et al., 1991) and Phaseolus (Abdullah, 1988; Powell et al., 1986a). However, the correlations between conductivity readings and field emergence are encouraging (Table 1).

Prediction of viability by EC

The assessment of the conductivity of single seeds was proposed as an assessment of seed viability (Steere et al., 1981), following the development of an instrument to measure the conductivity of the leachate of 100 single seeds simultaneously. Studies with pea, soyabeans, cotton, Phaseolus bean, maize and small-seeded crops provided evidence that analysis of the single seed leachate conductivity, could indicate both standard germination and seed vigour. The instrument does not however adjust readings to take account of seed weight. It is therefore recommended that each of the 100 seeds be weighed prior to testing so that the average reading can be recorded per gram individual seed weight (Hepburn et al., 1984) i.e. as μamps cm⁻¹ g⁻¹ or μs cm⁻¹ g⁻¹, depending on the instrument used.

As a routine measure of germination, the original proposed use of the instrument, the determination of single seed conductivity, has not proved successful because of the lack of a clear and consistent conductivity for each species that separates viable from non-viable seed (Hepburn et al., 1984). Work on cotton (Perl and Feder, 1983) confirmed the difficulty of predicting laboratory germination, but showed that the percentage of seeds below a relatively low conductivity after 18 hours soaking was closely related to both the rate of emergence and final emergence when the same seeds were sown in the field.

Much earlier work on the use of electrical conductivity of seed soak water to predict viability used bulk of seed, not single seeds. Hibbard and Millar (1928) found that in bulk samples of wheat, peas and timothy grass that showed wide ranges of germination, the conductivity of the soak water increased (or in their measurement, the resistance to electricity decreased) as germination decreased. In cotton, Presley (1958) generated different levels of viability by artificial ageing and showed that the conductivity of soak water increased as germination decreased. More recently Mirdad et al. (2006) generated samples of cauliflower and cabbage containing different proportions of germinable seeds by ageing seeds at raised seed moisture content and high temperature and found that the conductivity of soak water using bulks of 50 seeds related well to germination. This applied to a wide range of germination levels, similar to those in early work, with r² values of from 0.79 ** to 0.96 *** (cauliflower and cabbage) and also to germination levels from 90 to 98% (r² 0.98 *** for cauliflower). There is clearly potential for a 24 hour test of germination based on the electrical conductivity of the soak water of bulk samples of seeds.

Future developments

The challenges for the future include extension of the validated routine EC method to other crops beyond peas, starting with Phaseolus and soyabeans. Work on these crops is underway within ISTA, and will move on to other grain legumes. This requires a systematic approach on appropriate seed material, taking account of some of the scientific understanding that we already have. An example would be the effect of a low initial seed moisture content. This has been shown to slow down imbibition in peas (Powell and Matthews, 1977) leading to a misleadingly low reading. In contrast low seed moisture content in soyabeans can lead to inappropriately high readings (Loeffler et al. 1988). This may result from the increased sensitivity to imbibition damage in both soyabeans (Obendorf and Hobbs, 1970) and lima beans (Pell, 1969) observed in very dry seeds having extremely low water potentials. Hence in routine EC testing the moisture content of peas and soyabeans must be adjusted to within the range 10 – 14% before EC testing.

The measurement of EC as an assessment of viability goes back as far as Hibbard and Millar (1928). We believe their bulk method has a potential that has not yet been realised. To achieve a repeatable measure of viability in 24 hours or less would be valuable in seed production and commercial seed testing. The challenge is by no means insurmountable, needing a methodical scientific approach

Table 1 Correlation coefficients (r) of EC of seed soak water and field emergence for 18 seed lots of soyabeans (Glycine max), 80 lots of soyabeans, 30 lots of Phaseolus vulgaris and 11 lots of long bean (Vigna sesquipedalis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soybeans</th>
<th>Phaseolus vulgaris1</th>
<th>Longbean1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sowing 1</td>
<td>Sowing 2</td>
<td>Range for 12 sowings2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.89***</td>
<td>-0.92***</td>
<td>-0.67** to -0.79**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oliveira et al. (1984); Yaklich et al. (1979); Powell et al. (1986a); Abdullah et al. (1991); ** p ≤ 0.001
and would not require technically elaborate equipment. The measurement of EC could also have a role alongside ageing-based vigour tests, like the accelerated ageing and controlled deterioration tests, by giving a measure of viability following ageing in 24 hours in place of a germination test of 7 days or longer (Thornton et al., 1990). In our own work EC after rapid ageing predicted the longevity of pea seeds in commercial storage (Powell and Matthews, 1978b).

The main intention in writing this brief review was to explain the basis of the EC test. We also hope that others will be encouraged to take up the challenges of extending the use of conductivity in seed technology.
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Amendments to ISTA Handbook on Seedling Evaluation

By Ronald Don, ISTA Germination Committee Chair
Official Seed Testing Station, Scottish Agri. Science Agency Headquarters, 1 Roddinglaw Road, Edinburgh EH12 9FJ, United Kingdom, ronald.don@sasa.gsi.gov.uk

Since the ISTA Congress 2004 held in Budapest, Hungary the Germination Committee has been working on revisions to the ISTA Handbook on Seedling Evaluation, 3rd Edition. The revisions consists of three different parts:

1. The introduction of guidance on the evaluation of primary roots of grasses into Section 12: Seedling Type D – Seedling Group A-1-2-3-1 (Lolium).

A comparative test using photographs of grass seedlings has shown that ISTA Member Laboratories do not appear to evaluate defects of primary roots in the same manner (especially when the primary root is short). Guidance is included therefore into the handbook on the evaluation of primary roots of grasses and the extent to which secondary roots can be taken into account (see for more details regarding the comparative test see: ‘Summary of Lolium Seedling Evaluation based on images from different ISTA Member Laboratories’ by Sylvie Ducournau, pp. 37-39).

2. The revision of Section 4 of the Handbook: “Laboratory Conditions for Seedling Evaluation” to add the new substrate definitions, i.e. growing media and organic growing media, and the germination media parameters.

‘Growing media’ as a generic term for all substrates, i.e. paper, sand and other germination media, was introduced into the ISTA International Rules for Seed Testing, Edition 2006. The handbook is now updated accordingly.

3. The addition of an Appendix 5: Illustrative Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) to reflect quality assurance requirements.

To assist laboratories in ensuring that the germination media they use in germination tests meet the specifications given in the ISTA Rules, the following four illustrative SOPs are included in the handbook:

- Germination Procedures – Growing Media Specification Checks – pH
- Germination Procedures – Growing Media Specification Checks – Conductivity; and
- Germination Procedures – Growing Media Specification Checks – Innocuity

Temperature control and measurement is an important factor in the germination laboratory and other areas of seed testing. To assist laboratories to meet the specifications for temperature control and measurement given in the ISTA Rules an illustrative SOP is included in the handbook:

- Germination Procedures - Temperature Measurement and Control of Temperature in the Germination Laboratory;

The illustrative SOPs included in the handbook are for guidance purposes only. They illustrate procedures that the germination committee consider will meet the requirements of the ISTA Rules and the ISTA Accreditation Standard. Accredited laboratories are expected to comply with the requirements and document the procedures adopted in their laboratory.

A sample of the revised handbook will be available at the ISTA Annual Meeting 2006 in Zurich, Switzerland.
Summary of *Lolium* Seedling Evaluation based on images from different ISTA laboratories

**By Sylvie Ducournau, ISTA Germination Committee Vice-chair**  
GÉVES-SNES, Rue Georges-Morel, 49071 Beaucouzé Cedex, France, sylvie.ducournau@geves.fr

**Objective**  
The objective of this work was to compare the evaluation of *Lolium* root system from different ISTA laboratories in order to determine a length limit for the primary root to be considered as normal.

In the ISTA Handbook on Seedling Evaluation (2003), it is stated for *Lolium*: “The root system consists of a primary root, usually covered with root hairs. Secondary roots may occasionally develop during the test period, but they are not taken into account in seedling evaluation”.

**Material and methods**  
A lot of images of *Lolium* seedlings have been taken with a digital camera. From all these images, 18 have been selected in order to obtain different size of primary root (see Annex).

*Lolium* seedlings have been obtained from seeds germinated on top of paper, at 20-30°C with 8 hours of light per day.

A file containing these 18 seedling images has been sent to ISTA stations:

- SASA – Scotland  
- NAK - Netherlands  
- NIAB - England  
- Plant Directorate - Denmark  
- LUFA – Germany  
- GÉVES-SNES - France

From the 6 laboratories, 5 answers have been received.

Together with the evaluation of the seedlings, the length of the primary root has been measured. We also measured the length of the primary root + secondary roots. The length of the root(s) has been reported against the length of the shoot part in order to appreciate the balance of the seedling.

**Results**  
Results are summarised in the following table. It contains the evaluation of the seedlings and the measurements of the root system (length of the primary root and length of primary + secondary roots).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seedling number</th>
<th>Length of primary root</th>
<th>Length of primary + secondary roots</th>
<th>Seedling evaluation N : Normal, A : Abnormal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lab 1</td>
<td>Lab 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Percentages of root length are expressed against the length of the shoot  
- Seedling number with the same colour are identical. 1=15 ; 8=12 ; 16=17  
- *Seedling identified with this mark are evaluated differently by the analysts of the same laboratory

Considering these results, following comments can be proposed:

- When the primary root is very short (≤ 30% of the shoot size), seedling is quite always considered as abnormal. This is the case for seedlings 9 and 13.
- Laboratories 1 and 3 present the same evaluation of the seedlings. It is especially the case for seedlings 16 and 17 that are considered as abnormal by these 2 laboratories. If these seedlings are considered as abnormal when seedling 5 is considered as normal, maybe these laboratories have taken secondary roots into account because the length of the primary root is strictly the same in size.
- Laboratories 2 and 4 evaluate less abnormal seedlings than the other laboratories. Perhaps the length of the root length if shorter for these laboratories (around 30% of the shoot size) or maybe these laboratories are taken secondary roots into account for the evaluation of the seedlings.
- Laboratory 5 evaluates more abnormal seedlings than the other laboratories, especially when the root length is less than half the size of the shoot part (seedlings 2, 5 and 7). Differences have been also identified between analysts because the primary root was not very easy to identify on images for these seedlings. Seedlings 8 and 12 have been considered as abnormal for this laboratory. Perhaps it was due to the fact that primary root was evaluated as decayed. Primary roots looked often brown due to the time to take the pictures.
Conclusion

- There is a good accordance in seedling evaluation when the primary root is very short (size of the primary root less than 30% of the shoot size).
- Discrepancies are higher when the size of the primary root increases between 30% to 50% of the shoot size.
- More precision should be given in the ISTA Handbook on Seedling Evaluation to increase uniformity in the evaluation of Lolium root system.

- Precision of a limit of size of the primary root could be a first proposition: More than 30% of the shoot size to be considered as normal.
- Secondary roots are frequently observed during the test period. Taking secondary roots into account in seedling evaluation could be a second proposition to facilitate the evaluation of seedlings when the primary root is very short (around 30% of the shoot size). We have performed some trials in glasshouse with seedlings presenting different size of primary root and come to the conclusion that to grow normally, Lolium seedlings need to have primary + secondary roots of at least 60% of the shoot size with a minimum length for the primary root of 30% of the shoot.
Amendments to ISTA Handbook on Seedling Evaluation

Order the ISTA Handbook for Seedling Evaluation Online at www.seedtest.org

The Amendment to the ISTA Handbook for Seedling Evaluation will be available as of August 2006.

Pre-Order Now!
On Monday, October 31, people from four cities around Argentina and six countries gathered in Buenos Aires City to participate in the 3rd ISTA Workshop on Statistical Aspects of GMO Detection. This 3rd workshop of four days and a half included presentations on statistical aspects and PCR based methods for GMO detection. Among the statistical aspects Sylvain Grégoire, Jean-Louis Laffont and Kirk Remund made presentations on the following topics: ISTA Proficiency Tests on GMO, Statistical Tests, Uncertainty, Distributions, Testing Plan Design, Robustness, DG SANCO, Data Checking, Repeatability, Check of Purity, GM Estimate and use of Qualstat and Seedcalc. Opening the Workshop, Enrico Noli made presentations on general aspects of DNA, PCR, a complete overview on GMO detection methods and good laboratory practice for GMO labs.

As Argentina is the second highest GMO producing country, a detailed presentation on GMO regulatory aspects for Argentina and the Latin American region was also made. On Tuesday, we visited the Molecular Markers Lab at the Quality Department of INASE and on Thursday evening we enjoyed a dinner and a Tango show at Esquina Homero Manzi. All the presentations were included on a CD, together with the free software presented during the meeting and some photos taken during the lectures. In general, the thirteen attendants from government and private companies learned, discussed and enjoyed the pleasant atmosphere during this ISTA Workshop.

The local organizers would like to thank the lecturers and the Membership Department of the ISTA Secretariat for all the work done making this workshop successful.
The 7th ISTA Seminar on Statistics in Seed Testing was kindly hosted by Professor Michael Kruse and his colleagues at the Institute of Plant Breeding, Seed Research and Population Genetics in the University of Hohenheim on August 29 to September 2, 2005. There were a total of 32 individuals that participated in the seminar.

The seminar started with an interesting history presentation by Professor Adolf Steiner on the pioneers of statistics in seed testing and chairpersons of the statistics committee. Numerous other presentations were given during the course of the seminar on topics including tolerances, GM testing statistics, proficiency tests, seed lot homogeneity testing, and germination testing. The participants had many questions and comments that combined for a very healthy and productive discussion for all.

The seminar content was enhanced by some wonderful tours, excursion and excellent hospitality of the local hosting group led by Susanne Meyer. Professor Steiner took the participants on a very interesting tour through Hohenheim Gardens. Many participants also took tours to Deutsches Landwirtschafts-Museum, downtown Stuttgart and Esslingen city.

A highlight of the seminar was the excursion/party to the Swabian Alb. The participants enjoyed a beautiful evening at the Institute’s research site on the Swabian Alb and a wonderful dinner and refreshments were served.

The presentations, discussions, tours/excursion and excellent hospitality combined for a very successful seminar!
ISTA Biotech Trait Detection Workshop
Iowa State University, Ames, United States
May 8 - 10, 2006

Testing for Adventitious Presence (AP) of biotechnology traits in seed and grain became an integral part of crop development, production, stewardship and regulation. Presently, government regulators, seed companies, grain suppliers, interest groups, contract laboratories, and academia are all involved in AP testing. Various Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) applications, most importantly quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR), emerged as the leading methodology used for AP testing. In this workshop we will present and discuss the technical and scientific challenges in AP testing and provide hands-on training in the current process and PCR methodologies utilized for biotech trait detection:

Topics that will be covered include:

- The statistical aspects of sampling and testing design
- Management of the samples and data flow
- Theory and hands-on experience in:
  - Upfront sample processing (DNA isolation, quantification, normalization) in low and high throughput environments
  - Qualitative and quantitative PCR technologies
  - Data analysis

We will have a round table discussion on quality control issues, and hear presentation from leading analysts that will focus on characterization of qPCR as a testing tool, challenges in interpreting AP testing results, Validation, and ISTA’s position, experience and activities in the field of biotech trait detection.

Registration Fees:
ISTA Members: US$ 350 (US$ 390 after April 28)
Non-ISTA Members: US$ 400 (US$ 440 after April 28)

For more information or questions about programme content, contact:
Seed Science Center
Iowa State University
Ames, IA 50010
Phone: +1 (515) 294.6821
Fax: +1 (515) 294.2014

For questions about registration, contact:
University Conference Services
Iowa State University
Ames, IA 50010
Phone: +1 (515) 294.6222
Fax: +1 (515) 294.6223
E-mail: ucs-info@iastate.edu

Website update

The following new tools are available for download at the ISTA Website.

- Seedcalc 7
- Germination Rounding programme

Download the programmes for FREE at www.seedtest.org

Also visit the new Information Platform for GM Seed
ISTA Vigour Testing Workshop
Beaucouzé, France
May 10 - 12, 2006

The ISTA Vigour Committee and Station Nationale d’Essais de Semences, GEVES invite you to the ISTA workshop on seed vigour testing. The workshop will be made up of lectures and practical experience in vigour testing. It will also offer the opportunity for general discussion of seed vigour and time for participants to ask specific questions regarding vigour testing procedures.

Workshop content

Lectures
- Background to seed vigour
- Importance of seed vigour in crop production
- Two ISTA validated vigour tests: Accelerated ageing test for *Glycine max* and Conductivity test for *Pisum sativum*
- Controlled deterioration test for small seeded vegetables
- Cold test for maize
- Rate of germination as a vigour assessment
- Precision in vigour testing
- Tolerances

Practical work
All participants will complete the conductivit test, carry out stages in the accelerated ageing and controlled deterioration tests and assess results from accelerated ageing, controlled deterioration, cold and rate of germination tests. In addition, the results of a range of vigour tests on maize will be evaluated as a preliminary to a discussion of vigour tests.

Question and answer sessions
These will consider questions on all aspects of seed vigour and any vigour test.

Presenters of the workshop
The workshop will be presented by Dr. Alison Powell (Chair of the Vigour Committee, University of Aberdeen), Dr. Dennis TeKrony (University of Kentucky), Dr. Stan Matthews (University of Aberdeen), Sylvie Ducournau (SNES) and Marie-Helene Wagner (SNES).

Location
The workshop will take place at SNES, Beaucouzé, France, situated 1h 30min from Paris by TGV. Accommodation will be in the city of Angers. Transport will be provided to SNES.

Registration
There will be a minimum number of participants required for this workshop to take place, with a maximum number of 20. The cost of the workshop is 300 Euro for ISTA members, 410 Euro for non-members. The registration fee includes participation in the workshop and all supporting literature, breaks, lunches, daily travel from the hotel to laboratory, workshop dinner, a visit to the Castle of Angers and to Cointreau.

Accommodation
Accommodation has been reserved at the IBIS Hotel, Angers. The price of a room for one night is 71 € without breakfast (6.50 € for breakfast).

To register please visit www.seedtest.org

Master Class Seed Technology
Wageningen, the Netherlands, June 6 – 9, 2006

The aim of the master class is to offer professional seed technologists the possibility for a further deepening and actualisation of their knowledge and expertise. Through an intensive in-depth seminar programme and informal discussions, along with several demonstrations of recent technological developments, participants will be challenged with the latest developments in seed technology. The course will enhance participants to make strategic choices in seed technology research and development.

Invited speakers and WSC staff will give seminars on topics including embryogenesis, seed expressed genes, dormancy and seed quality, seed stress tolerance, longevity and germination equations, germination markers, seed enhancement maturation sorting, cDNA micro-arrays, seed proteomics, seed health, seeds for organic farming, priming, molecular identification of seeds and variety protection, seed management and business, seed quality control and statistics, international seed supply systems and property rights.

For more information visit the website from Wageningen Seed Centre: www.seedcentre.nl
ISTA Quality Management Training Course
Zurich, Switzerland
June 30 - July 3, 2006

Organiser
Dr. Silvia Zanetti, Head of the Seed Testing Laboratory of Agroscope FAL Reckenholz

Lecturers
Gerhard Schuon, Martina Rösch (ISTA System Auditors)

Aim of the workshop
This workshop aims at presenting and discussing basic principles of quality management and it focuses on the needs of seed testing laboratories that wish to comply with the ISTA Accreditation Standard

Workshop content
Quality documentation, management review, contract review, handling and control of non-conformities, corrective action, internal audits

Workshop Description
Participants of this workshop will be actively involved through group work, discussions, presentations and performance of a mock on-site audit at the ISTA accredited seed testing laboratory in Switzerland. The theoretical background will be given through lectures. The normative documents are the ISTA Accreditation Standard, the ISO 9000 series (“Quality Management Systems”) and the ISO 19011 Standard (“Guidelines for Quality and Environmental Management Systems Auditing”).

Preliminary Programme
Friday and Saturday: Lectures, group work, discussions (Workshop dinner on Saturday)

Sunday: Optional tour: We will be visiting the Lake Lucerne in the heart of Switzerland. The tour will be featuring the stunning world’s steepest cogwheel railway journey up to the Mount Pilatus (2137 m altitude). On the way back we will stop for a ride down the summer toboggan run at Fräkmüntegg (coffee and a piece of cake might be an alternative for those who like it less racy).

Monday: Practical work (mock internal audit)

Participation Fee
300 Swiss Francs (~195 EURO) for ISTA members + 140 Swiss Francs (~90 EURO) for the Sunday Tour
400 Swiss Francs (~260 EURO) for non-ISTA members + 140 Swiss Francs (~90 EURO) for the Sunday Tour

Number of Participants 25

Target Group
Quality managers, laboratory managers, internal auditors; participants with or without experience in quality management may register.

Contact person for the Workshop registration
Silvia Zanetti
Seed Testing Laboratory, Agroscope FAL-Reckenholz
Reckenholzstrasse 191, 8046 Zürich, Switzerland
E-mail: silvia.zanetti@fal.admin.ch
Phone: +41 44 377 72 84 Fax: +41 44 377 72 01
ISTA Purity Workshop
Nakuru, Kenya
July 13 - 14, 2006

Location:
Plant Health Inspectorate Service (KEPHIS), Nakuru, Kenya

Local Organiser:
Dr. Joseph Oloo Ahenda, KEPHIS (kephissq@africaonline.co.ke)

Lecturers:
Maria Rosaria Mannino, ISTA Purity Committee Chair, GEVES – SNES, France (maria-rosaria.mannino@geves.fr)
Tove Due, Danish Plant Directorate (tod@pdir.dk)
Dr Siro Masinde, Botanist in charge, East African Herbarium, National Museums of Kenya (plants@africaonline.co.ke)
Dr Renben Muasya, Moi University, Crop Science & Seed Technology Dept (rmuasya@africaonline.co.ke)

The ISTA Purity Committee and the Plant Health Inspectorate Service in Nakuru (KEPHIS), have a great pleasure to announce the ISTA Purity Workshop in Kenya. This workshop has been organised with the objective of covering topics related to tropical and sub-tropical species, including:

- Presentation of the Purity Committee, its work and Purity Rules
- Practical exercises on evaluation of pure seed in the genera Brachiaria, Digitaria, Panicum, Setaria, Urochloa, Cenchrus and Chloris
- Aspects of the purity analysis of other species such as Tanacetum cinerarinfolium and vegetable species of the genus Brassica
- Analysis of mixtures
- Future increased coverage of tropical and sub-tropical species in Rules: introduction of new species, needs for ring tests and proficiency tests, presence in the Universal List of Weeds and Crops
- Visit to the hosting laboratory.

The workshop is planned for up to 30 participants. Language of the Workshop is English.

Registration:
Registration fee is 270 USD for ISTA members and 330 USD for Non-ISTA members. Registration fee includes the costs of the official programme of the workshop, lunches and coffee breaks.

Contact person for the Workshop registration Hotel reservation and the post-workshop tour booking:
Dr. Joseph Oloo Ahenda
KEPHIS, Nakuru Regional Office
P.O. Box 1679
Nakuru, Kenya
Phone: +254 51 850106
Fax: +254 51851268
E-mail: kephissq@africaonline.co.ke

Registration deadline: 15th of May 2006
Payment deadline: 15th of June 2006
Details for payment will be provided upon receipt of your registration.

Accommodation (in USD):
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hotel name</th>
<th>Merica</th>
<th>Midland</th>
<th>Kunste</th>
<th>Waterbuck</th>
<th>Stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single room</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double room</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior suite</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-workshop tour:
One day post-workshop tour of Lake Nakuru /Masai Mara/ Bogoria National Parks can be arranged but at an extra cost of 90 USD. Applicants interested in the post-workshop tour should indicate it in the Application form. Final post-workshop tour booking and payment will be done upon arrival to Kenya.
11th ISTA Training Course on Tetrazolium Testing Vegetable- and Agricultural Seeds
Emmeloord, The Netherlands
July 24 - 28, 2006

Location:
NAK
Dutch General Inspection Service for Agricultural Seed and Seed Potatoes
Randweg 14
P.O. Box 1115
8300 BC Emmeloord
The Netherlands

Preliminary Programme:
Lectures on:
- History and development of Tetrazolium Testing
- FTS in ISTA, Viability – germination-
  General and special aspects of Tetrazolium Testing.
- Tetrazolium test in vegetable and agricultural seeds.
- Physiological aspects.

Practical Work:
With the ISTA Rules and Tetrazolium Working Sheets on Agrostis, Lolium, Triticum, Brassica, Trifolium, Helianthus, Lycopersicon, Cichorium, Lactuca, Phaseolus, Daucus and Allium
Further species of interest asked for by participants. Half day excursion to world inheritance property Schokland and a visit to Gietboorn, “Venice of Holland”.

Registration fee:
ISTA members: 400 Euro
Non-ISTA members: 600 Euro
Hotel available for 56 Euro/night
Participants: 16-18 at maximum

ISTA Workshop on Varietal- and Hybrid Determination by IEF
LUFA Augustenbergen, Karlsruhe, Germany
August 28 - 31, 2006

Organiser
Rainer Knoblauch, ISTA Variety Committee Chair

Location
State Agricultural Testing and Research Institute
Department Seed Testing and Applied Botany
Nesslerstr. 23
D-76227 Karlsruhe
Germany

Participants
8 at maximum

Participation Fee
ISTA members: 250 Euro
Non-ISTA members: 350 Euro

Special tasks from participants are welcome.
Half day excursion to a company supplying essential chemicals for electrophoresis in Heidelberg.

Are you interested in participation?
Please contact Mr. Rainer Knoblauch for further information.
e-mail: rainer.knoblauch@lufa.bwl.de
ISTA Forest Tree and Shrub Seed Seminar
Verona, Italy
September 12 - 15, 2006

Objectives
The seminar will deal with practical problems related to seed testing of forest tree and shrub species. The aim of the meeting is to intensify an exchange of information in this area. The meeting will cover Purity, Germination and Tetrazolium test.

Preliminary Programme
Monday 11: Trip to the National Centre of Forest Biodiversity in Bosco della Fontana Reserve (MN), about 30 km from Verona, by bus of Corpo Forestale dello Stato. In the afternoon visit to the town of Mantua.

Tuesday 12: Registration, welcome, presentation of the programme. Germination tests (presentations, practical part – evaluation of normal, abnormal, fresh seeds, discussion – exchange of experiences among participants).


Thursday 14: Travel day-trip to Peri (45 min from Verona, with bus of Corpo Forestale dello Stato) and visit to a public nursery and seed production and to the ISTA laboratory in the Centro Nazionale per lo Studio e la Conservazione della Biodiversità Forestale. In the afternoon visit to a vineyard and wine shop.


Pre-seminar tours
Saturday 09: Arrival of participants, in the afternoon facultative trip in Verona and visit of the town (a guide will be available). Minimum 10 participants.

Sunday 10: Arrival of participants, facultative visit to Venice (less than 1,30 h from Verona by train-a guide will be available). Minimum 10 participants.

Seminar language: English.

Venue
The workshop will take place at the “Circolo Ufficiali di Presidio” located in the “Castelvecchio” (Old Castle), via Castelvecchio, 4-Verona (www.comune.verona.it/turismo/Passeggiando/ItinerarioC/pontedicastelvecchio.htm) in English, French and German version, only a few minutes on foot from the accommodation in the city centre or with the Verona municipal bus systems.

Registration
The Registration Fee is EUR 300 for ISTA members and EUR 400 for Non-ISTA members. It covers the cost of the workshop documentation and other material, coffee breaks and lunches, official dinner and transportation to the National Centre of Forestry Biodiversity of Boscofontana (MN) and to the National Centre of Forestry Biodiversity and ISTA Laboratory in Peri VR (a day trip).

Accompanying person: 120 €. Cost covers: trip to Mantua, trip to Peri, official dinner.

Registration deadline: July 31, 2006

Accommodation
Hotel Italia
Category ***
Address: via Mameli, 58/66-Verona
Phone: +39 045 91 8088
Fax: +39 045 834 8028
e-mail: info@hotelitaliaverona.it
www.hotelitaliaverona.it
double room single use/night 74 €
double room/night 90 € (2pax)
only 10 rooms available
breakfast included

Hotel Borghetti
Category ***
Address: via Valpolicella 47-Parona VR
Phone: +39 045 94 10 45
Fax: +39 045 94 23 67
e-mail: hb@hotelborghetti.com
www.hotelborghetti.com
single room/night 50 €
double room/night 75 € (2 pax)
breakfast included

For the seminar registration, hotel and pre-seminar tours reservation please refer to the ISTA website or contact the organiser:

Fabio Gorian
Centro Nazionale per lo Studio e la Conservazione della Biodiversità Forestale
Via del Ponte 256, 37020 PERI VR, ITALY
f.gorian@corpoforestale.it
Tel +39 045 628 40 71
Fax +39 045 628 40 89
Industry Needs Trained Seed Analysts

Information from the Colorado State University, United States

Recently the Association of Official Seed Analysts and the Society of Commercial Seed Analysts and Seedquest.com website ran a survey of their memberships to determine the need for seed analyst training programs at the collegiate level. Based on this survey, approximately ten analysts per year will be needed to meet the needs of State, Federal, private and seed company laboratories. Eighty-three percent of the respondents agreed that there is a shortage of seed analysts.

These are very interesting figures when you realize that many of the University seed programs are being terminated. Recent figures compiled by Dr. Dennis TeKrony, University of Kentucky, indicated that only thirteen Universities still have active seed programs. Other Universities such as Cornell, Mississippi State, Washington State, Montana State and Michigan State have terminated their seed programs or greatly reduced their effort in seed related education.

The respondents to this survey were from seed analysts, private laboratory owners/managers and seed company owners/managers. The responses were equally divided among these three groups giving greater validity to the results. The area of greatest need for seed analysts training includes grasses, vegetable, flower and herb seed. Comments received from respondents suggest several reasons why there is a shortage of seed analysts: lack of training opportunity, degree of training necessary to become a certified or registered seed analyst, low salaries that do not reflect the degree to training necessary to become a professional seed analyst and lack of emphasis by high school counselors for agricultural careers.

This survey indicated that there is a shortage of seed analysts and that we need to develop a training program for analysts. Today most of the analysts are trained on-the-job requiring that trained analysts do the training and reduces the time they have to do seed analysis work. Ninety-three percent of the respondents recommended some type of formal training at the collegiate level that includes classroom training, distance education courses and apprenticeships. Workshops were also suggested as another way to broaden the education opportunities.

Respondents were asked about the specific subjects for training and suggested that students have basic science courses such as biology, genetics and seed physiology. In addition to the basic science courses some specific topic were suggested; seed identification, and tetrazolium, germination and purity testing.

Colorado State University is now developing a certificate program in seed technology. This would be a two year program with subject matter courses being taught in the first year of enrollment and internship work experience in an approved seed laboratory the second year of the program. This program would greatly help prepare prospective seed analysts for taking the certification or registration tests of the Association of Official Seed Analysts and the Society of Commercial Seed Analysts.

ISTA Membership offers you

- free access to the ‘International Rules for Seed Testing’, an internationally standardised publication containing seed testing procedures and techniques, which is constantly revised and updated
- valuable information through all ISTA publications, including Seed Science Technology and Technical Handbooks, which are free for members
- involvement in seed testing methodology development
- ISTA proficiency testing, quality assurance standards and auditing services, which assist you in attaining the highest quality assurance levels in today’s business environment
- the possibility of issuing ISTA international certificates
- easy access to leading seed experts worldwide
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>ISTA Biotech Trait Detection Workshop (Ames, Iowa, United States)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISTA Vigour Testing Workshop (Beaucouzé, France)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISF Congress (Copenhagen, Denmark)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>AOSA/SCST/AOSCA Annual Meeting (Indianapolis, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masterclass on Seed Technology (Wageningen, Netherlands)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISTA Purity Workshop (Zurich, Switzerland)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISTA Annual Meeting 2006 (Zurich, Switzerland)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISTA Quality Management Training Course (Zurich, Switzerland)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>ISTA Purity Workshop (Nakuru, Kenya)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11th ISTA Training Course on Tetrazolium Testing, Vegetable- and Agricultural Seeds (Emmeloord, Netherlands)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>OECD Seed Schemes Annual Meeting (Salvador de Bahia, Brazil)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FELAS XX Seminario Panamericano de Semillas (Salvador de Bahia, Brazil)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISTA Workshop on Varietal- and Hybrid Determination by IEF (Karlsruhe, Germany)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>3rd International Seed Health Conference (Bydgoszcz, Poland)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>ESA Annual Meeting (Brussels, Belgium)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EESNET Meeting (Opatija, Croatia)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>Asian Seed Congress (Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>