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7-003: Detection of Botrytis cinerea in Helianthus annuus (sunflower) seed

Host: Helianthus annuus L.
Pathogen(s): Botrytis cinerea Pers. ex Pers. (Perfect state Botryotinia fuckeliana (de Bary) Whetzel, syn. Sclerotinia fuckeliana (de Bary) Fuckel.)
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Background
This method was originally published in the ISTA Handbook of Seed Health Testing in 1981 as Working Sheet No. 44 prepared by C. Anselme & R. Champion, La Minière, France. The method was incorporated into the newly revised Annexe to Chapter 7 in 2002 from the 1999 edition of the ISTA Rules. The method was reviewed by the ISTA-Seed Health Committee in 2006 (Cockerell & Koenraadt, 2007) with the recommendation to accept for a further five years.

An ISTA Proficiency test for Method 7-003 highlighted problems with both over- and underestimation of Botrytis cinerea by laboratories. Confusion with saprophytes may have caused overestimation by some laboratories, while differences in the criteria as to when a seed is infected (presence of one conidiophore versus soft rot on roots) led to underestimation of B. cinerea by some laboratories.

The ISTA SHC agreed that an experiment be carried out to establish whether the use of a malt solution exacerbates the proliferation of saprophytes, leading to incorrect assessments by laboratories. The results showed when malt solutions of 1 % and 3 % were used, B. cinerea levels were significantly higher than the true value after 9 days’ incubation, and also after 7 days with 3 % malt. The malt solution was also shown to increase the saprophyte count compared to no malt.

New morphological criteria was described for the determination of infected seed during the SHC Workshop in South Africa, 2008, and finally agreed at the SHC workshop in SNES, France, 2–5 March 2010.

As a result of this work the following changes have been made:
– removal of malt solution;
– blotters now soaked with distilled/deionized water;
– incubation reduced to 7 days, with examinations made at 5 and 7 days.

Treated seed
This method has not been validated for the determination of Botrytis cinerea on treated seed. Seed treatments may affect the performance of the method. (Definition of treatment: any process, physical, biological or chemical, to which a seed lot is subjected, including seed coatings. See 7.2.3)

Materials
Reference material: reference cultures or other appropriate material
Media: blotters (filter paper), e.g. Whatman No. 1 or equivalent
Petri dishes: when sowing density is given by a number of seeds per Petri dish, a diameter of 90 mm is assumed.
Incubator: capable of operating in the range 20 ±2 °C
Sample preparation

The test is carried out on a working sample of 400 seeds as described in Section 7.4.1 of the ISTA Rules.

Method

1. Pretreatment: none

2. Plating
2.1 Place two pieces of blotter (88 mm in diameter) in each 90 mm Petri dish (bottom) and soak with distilled/deionized water. Drain away excess distilled/deionized water. Place 5 seeds in each Petri dish.
2.2 Positive control (reference material): Aseptically place seeds in an appropriate number of plates to obtain the reference culture, or plate a reference culture on media. The number of plates required will depend on the level of contamination of the positive control seed lot.

3. Incubation: 7 days at 20 °C in darkness

4. Examination: Examination is carried out after 5 and 7 days. A contaminated seed could present several criteria; one of these criteria is sufficient for the seed to be recorded as infected. Compare with positive control.

Examination by naked eye

- A soft rot, covered by an abundant grey mycelium (Fig. 1); the presence of mycelium with sporulation is needed, since soft rots can also be due to saprophytes.

Examination by high-power microscope (magnification ×150–200)

- Tape-like hyphae producing bunches of branching conidiophores (Figs. 2 and 3).
- Isolated conidiophore on teguments, cotyledons or the root (Fig. 4). In doubtful cases, confirmation may be made by examining the mycelium under the microscope (×150) for tape-like hyphae and ovoid, hyaline one-celled conidia 8–11 × 6–19 μm (Fig. 5).
- Non-sporulated mycelium of Botrytis cinerea on teguments, cotyledons or the root, recognizable by tape-like hyphae (Fig. 6).

General methods

Checking tolerances: Tolerances provide a means of assessing whether or not the variation in results within or between tests is sufficiently wide as to raise doubts about the accuracy of the results. Suitable tolerances, which can be applied to most direct seed health tests, can be found in Table 5B Part 1 of Chapter 5 of the ISTA Rules, or Table G1 in Miles (1963).

Reporting results: The result of a seed health test should indicate the scientific name of the pathogen detected and the test method used. When reported on an ISTA Certificate, results are entered under ‘Other Determinations’.

The report must indicate the number of seeds tested.
In the case of a negative result (pathogen not detected), the results must be reported as “not detected”.
In the case of a positive result, the report should indicate the percentage of infected seeds.

Quality assurance

Critical control points (CCP)

None listed.
**Figure 1.** Soft rot of the root with abundant grey mycelium of *Botrytis cinerea*.

**Figure 2.** Sporulated mycelium with tape-like hyphae (arrows) of *Botrytis cinerea*.

**Figure 3.** Sporulated mycelium of *Botrytis cinerea*.

**Figure 4.** Isolated conidiophores of *Botrytis cinerea*. 
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